arXiv:1704.04809v1 [math.AP] 16 Apr 2017

ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATION FOR THE SOLUTION TO A SEMI-LINEAR PARABOLIC PROBLEM IN A THIN STAR-SHAPED JUNCTION

ARSEN V. KLEVTSOVSKIY AND TARAS A. MEL'NYK

Abstract. A semi-linear parabolic problem is considered in a thin $3D$ star-shaped junction that consists of several thin curvilinear cylinders that are joined through a domain (node) of diameter $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$.

The purpose is to study the asymptotic behavior of the solution u_ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$, i.e. when the star-shaped junction is transformed in a graph. In addition, the passage to the limit is accompanied by special intensity factors $\{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\}$ and $\{\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\}$ in nonlinear perturbed Robin boundary conditions.

We establish qualitatively different cases in the asymptotic behaviour of the solution depending on the value of the parameters $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$. Using the multi-scale analysis, the asymptotic approximation for the solution is constructed and justified as the parameter $\varepsilon \to 0$. Namely, in each case we derive the limit problem $(\varepsilon = 0)$ on the graph with the corresponding Kirchhoff transmission conditions (untypical in some cases) at the vertex, define other terms of the asymptotic approximation and prove appropriate asymptotic estimates that justify these coupling conditions at the vertex and show the impact of the local geometric heterogeneity of the node and physical processes in the node on some properties of the solution.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Novelty and method of the study 3
2. Statement of the problem 4
2.1. Comments to the statement 6
3. Existence and uniqueness of the weak solution 7
3.1. A priori estimates 10
4. Formal asymptotic approximation. The case $\alpha_0 \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \geq 1$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. 10
4.1. Regular parts 11
4.2. Inner part 12
5. Justification 20
6. Asymptotic approximation in the case $\alpha_0 < 0$, $\alpha_i \geq 1$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ 26
6.1. The case $\alpha_0 \in (-1,0)$ 27
6.2. The case $\alpha_0 = -1$ 32
7. Comments 34
References 36

1. Introduction

We are interested in the study of evolution phenomena in junctions composed of several thin curvilinear cylinders that are joined through a domain of diameter $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ (see Fig. 1). Mathematical models those are

Key words and phrases. Approximation, semi-linear parabolic problem, nonlinear perturbed boundary condition, asymptotic estimate, thin star-shaped junction.

MOS subject classification: 35K57, 35K55, 35B40, 35B25, 74K30 .

described by semi-linear parabolic equations that allow to model a variety of biological and physical phenomena (reaction and diffusion processes in biology and biochemistry, heat-mass transfer, etc.) in channels, junctions and networks.

As we can see from Fig. 1, a thin junction is shrunk into a graph as the small parameter ε, characterizing thickness of the thin cylinders and domain connecting them, tends to zero. Thus, the aim is to find the corresponding limit problem in this graph and prove the estimate for the difference between the solutions of these two problems.



FIGURE 1. Transformation of a thin star-shaped junction into a graph

A large amount of physical and mathematical articles and books dedicated to different models on graphs, has been published for the last three decades, e.g. [1–13]. The main question arising in problems on graphs is point interactions at nodes of networks, i.e., the type of coupling conditions at vertices of the graph.

Also there is increasing interest in the investigation of the influence of a local geometric heterogeneity in vessels on the blood flow. This is both an aneurysm (a pathological extension of an artery like a bulge) and a stenosis (a pathological restriction of an artery). In [14] the authors classified 12 different aneurysms and proposed a numerical approach for this study. The aneurysm models have been meshed with 800,000 – 1,200,000 tetrahedral cells containing three boundary layers. However, as was noted by the authors, *the question how to model blood flow with sufficient accuracy is still open.*

Because of those point interactions and local geometric irregularities, the reaction-diffusion processes, heat-mass transfer and flow motions in networks posses many distinguishing features. A natural approach to explain the meaning of point interactions at vertices is the use of the limiting procedure mentioned above.

There are several asymptotic approaches to study such problems. As far as we known, the paper [15] was the first paper, where convergence results for linear diffusion processes in a region with narrow tubes were obtained with the help of the martingal-problem method of proving weak convergence. As a result, the standard gluing conditions (or so-called "Kirchhoff" transmission conditions) at the vertices of the graph were derived. Then this probabilistic approach was generalized in [16].

The method of the partial asymptotic domain decomposition was proposed in [17] and then it applied to different problems under the following assumptions: the uniform boundary conditions on the lateral surfaces of thin rectilinear cylinders, the right-hand sides depend only on the longitudinal variable in the direction of the corresponding cylinder and they are constant in some neighbourhoods of the nodes and vertices (see [18–22]). It follows from these papers that the main difficulty is the identification of the behaviour of solutions in neighbourhoods of the nodes.

To overcome this difficulty and to construct the leading terms of the elastic field asymptotics for the solution of the equations of anisotropic elasticity on junctions of thin three dimensional beams, the following

assumptions were made in [23]: the first terms of the volume force f and surface load g on the rods satisfy special orthogonality conditions (see $(3.5)_1$ and (3.6)) and the second term of the volume force f has an identified form and depends only on the longitudinal variable; similar orthogonality conditions for the right-hand sides on the nodes are satisfied (see (3.41)) and the second term is a piecewise constant vector-function (see (3.42)). By these assumptions, the displacement field at each node can be approximated by a rigid displacement. As a result, the approximation does not contain boundary layer terms, i.e., the asymptotic expansion is not complete a priori [23, Remark 3.1]. Similar approach was used for thin two dimensional junctions in [24].

There is a special interest in spectral problems on thin graph-like structures, since such problems have many applications. A fairly complete review on this topic has been presented in [25]. The main task is to study the possibility of approximating the spectra of different operators by the spectra of appropriate operators on the corresponding graph. The convergence of spectra for the Laplacians with different boundary conditions (Neumann, Dirichlet and Robin) at various levels of generality was proved in [26–33]. In [26] the authors took into account large protrusions at the vertices; as a result different Kirchhoff conditions are appeared depending on the value of the protrusion. It was demonstrated in [29] that the type of the transmission conditions depends crucially on the boundary layer phenomenon in the vicinity of the nodes; in addition the complete asymptotic expansions for the k-th eigenvalue and the eigenfunctions were obtained there, uniformly for k, in terms of scattering data on a non-compact limit space. Interesting multifarious transmission conditions are obtained in the limit passage for spectral problems on thin periodic honeycomb lattice [34, 35]. Numerical approach to deduce the vertex coupling conditions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on two-dimensional thin networks was proposed in [36].

1.1. **Novelty and method of the study.** In the present paper we continue to develop the asymptotic method proposed in our papers [37,38] for linear elliptic problems, which does not need the above mentioned assumptions. In addition, our approach gives the better estimate for the difference between the solution of the starting problem and the solution of the corresponding limit problem (compare (1) and (2) in [37]).

Here we have adapted this method to semi-linear parabolic problems with nonlinear perturbed Robin boundary conditions

$$\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} u_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \kappa_i\big(u_\varepsilon, x_i, t\big) = \varepsilon^{\beta_i}\, \varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t) \tag{1.1}$$

both on the boundaries of the thin curvilinear cylinders $(i \in \{1,2,3\})$ and on the boundary of the node $(i=0)$, which depend on special intensity factors ε^{α_i} and ε^{β_i}. We study the influence of these factors on the asymptotic behaviour of the solution as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

It turned out that the asymptotic behaviour of the solution depends on the parameters $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$, and essentially on the parameter α_0 that characterizes the intensity of processes at the boundary of the node. It is natural to expect that physical processes on the node boundary provoke crucial changes in the whole process in the thin star-shaped junction, in particular they can reject the traditional Kirchhoff transmission conditions at the vertex in some cases. We discovery three qualitatively different cases in the asymptotic behaviour of the solution. If $\alpha_0 > 0$, $\beta_0 > 0$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 1$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, then we have classical Kirchhoff transmission conditions. In the case $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\beta_0 = 0$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 1$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, new gluing conditions at the vertex $x=0$ of the graph look as follows

$$\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t) = \omega_0^{(2)}(0,t) = \omega_0^{(3)}(0,t),$$

$$\pi h_1^2(0) \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(1)}}{\partial x_1}(0,t) + \pi h_2^2(0) \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(2)}}{\partial x_2}(0,t) + \pi h_3^2(0) \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(3)}}{\partial x_3}(0,t) - \big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\, \kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big) = -\int_{\Gamma_0} \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\, d\sigma_\xi,$$

where $\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2$ is the Lebesgue measure of the boundary Γ_0 of the node. If $\alpha_0 < 0$ the limit problem splits in three independent problems with the Dirichlet conditions.

To construct the asymptotic approximation in each case, we use the method of matching asymptotic expansions (see [39]) with special cut-off functions. The approximation consists of two parts, namely, the

regular part of the asymptotics located inside of each thin cylinder and the inner part of the asymptotics discovered in a neighborhood of the node. The terms of the inner part of the asymptotics are special solutions of boundary-value problems in an unbounded domain with different outlets at infinity. It turns out they have polynomial growth at infinity. Matching these parts, we derive the limit problem ($\varepsilon = 0$) in the graph and the corresponding coupling conditions at the vertex.

Also we have proved energetic estimates in each case which allow to identify more precisely the impact of the local geometric heterogeneity of the node and physical processes in the node on some properties of the solution. It should be stressed that the error estimates and convergence rate are very important both for justification of adequacy of one- or two-dimensional models that aim at description of actual three-dimensional thin bodies and for the study of boundary effects and effects of local (internal) inhomogeneities in applied problems. In addition, those estimates justify transmission conditions of Kirchhoff type for metric graphs.

Thus, our approach makes it possible to take into account various factors (e.g. variable thickness of thin curvilinear cylinders, inhomogeneous nonlinear boundary conditions, geometric characteristics of nodes, etc.) in statements of boundary-value problems on graphs.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The statement of the problem and features of the investigation are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution is proved for every fixed value ε. Also a priori estimates and auxiliary inequalities are deduced there. In Section 4 we formally construct the leading terms both of the regular part of the asymptotics and the inner one in the case $\alpha_0 \geq 0,\ \ \alpha_i \geq 1,\ \ i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Then using the constructed terms we build the approximation and prove the corresponding asymptotic estimates in Section 5. Section 6 shows us what will happen in the case $\alpha_0 < 0,\ \ \alpha_i \geq 1,\ \ i \in \{1,2,3\}$. The main novelty is that the limit problem splits into three independent problems with the uniform Dirichlet condition at the vertex. In addition, the view of asymptotic ansatzes are very sensitive to the parameter α_0. Here we construct the approximation and prove the corresponding estimates for more typical and realistic subcases $\alpha_0 \in (-1,0)$ and $\alpha_0 = -1$; general case is only discussed. In Section 7, we analyze obtained results and discuss research perspectives.

2. Statement of the problem

The model thin star-shaped junction Ω_ε consists of three thin curvilinear cylinders

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \ \varepsilon\ell_0 < x_i < \ell_i, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} (1 - \delta_{ij}) x_j^2 < \varepsilon^2 h_i^2(x_i) \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

that are joined through a domain $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ (referred in the sequel "node"). Here ε is a small parameter; $\ell_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, $\ell_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$; the positive function h_i belongs to the space $C^1([0, \ell_i])$ and it is equal to some constants in neighborhoods of the points $x = 0$ and $x_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$; the symbol δ_{ij} is the Kroneker delta, i.e., $\delta_{ii} = 1$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.



Figure 2. The node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$

The node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ (see Fig. 2) is formed by the homothetic transformation with coefficient ε from a bounded domain $\Xi^{(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, i.e., $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)} = \varepsilon\,\Xi^{(0)}$. In addition, we assume that its boundary contains the disks

$$\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\varepsilon\ell_0) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,:\, x_i = \varepsilon\ell_0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3}(1-\delta_{ij})x_j^2 < \varepsilon^2 h_i^2(\varepsilon\ell_0) \right\}, \quad i = 1,2,3,$$

and denote $\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} := \partial\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)} \setminus \left\{ \overline{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\varepsilon\ell_0)} \cup \overline{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(2)}(\varepsilon\ell_0)} \cup \overline{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(3)}(\varepsilon\ell_0)} \right\}$.

Thus the model thin star-shaped junction Ω_ε (see Fig. 3) is the interior of the union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{3} \overline{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}}$ and we assume that it has the Lipschitz boundary.



FIGURE 3. The model thin star-shaped junction Ω_ε

Remark 2.1. *We can consider more general thin star-shaped junctions with arbitrary orientation of thin cylinders (their number can be also arbitrary). But to avoid technical and huge calculations and to demonstrate the main steps of the proposed asymptotic approach we consider the case when the cylinders are placed on the coordinate axes.*

In Ω_ε, we consider the following semi-linear parabolic problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \partial_t u_\varepsilon(x,t) - \Delta_x u_\varepsilon(x,t) + k\big(u_\varepsilon(x,t)\big) &=& f(x,t), & (x,t) \in \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0,T), \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} u_\varepsilon(x,t) + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\kappa_0\big(u_\varepsilon(x,t)\big) &=& \varepsilon^{\beta_0}\,\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t), & (x,t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} \times (0,T), \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} u_\varepsilon(x,t) + \varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\kappa_i\big(u_\varepsilon(x,t),x_i,t\big) &=& \varepsilon^{\beta_i}\,\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t), & (x,t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)} \times (0,T), \quad i = 1,2,3, \\ u_\varepsilon(x,t) &=& 0, & (x,t) \in \Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i) \times (0,T), \quad i = 1,2,3, \\ u_\varepsilon(x,0) &=& 0, & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)} = \partial\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,:\, \varepsilon\ell_0 < x_i < \ell_i\}$, $T > 0$, $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is the outward normal derivative, and the parameters $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_0 \ge 0$, $\beta_i \ge 1$, $i = \overline{1,3}$. For the given functions f, k, $\{\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}, \kappa_i\}_{i=0}^3$ we assume the following conditions:

C1. the function f belongs to the space $C\left(\overline{\Omega_{a_0}} \times [0,T]\right)$ and its restriction on the curvilinear cylinder $\Omega_{a_0}^{(i)}$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ belong to the space $C^1_{\overline{x}_i}\left(\overline{\Omega_{a_0}^{(i)}} \times [0,T]\right)$ (the space of all continuous functions having continuous derivatives with respect to variables $\overline{x}_i$ in $\overline{\Omega_{a_0}^{(i)}} \times [0,T]$), where a_0 is a fixed

positive number such that $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset \Omega_{a_0}$ for all values of the small parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and

$$\overline{x}_i = \begin{cases} (x_2, x_3), & i = 1, \\ (x_1, x_3), & i = 2, \\ (x_1, x_2), & i = 3; \end{cases}$$

C2. the functions $\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) := \varphi^{(0)}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t\right)$ and $\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t) := \varphi^{(i)}\left(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon}, x_i, t\right)$, $i = 1,2,3$, belong to the spaces $C\left(\overline{\Omega_{a_0}^{(i)}} \times [0,T]\right)$, $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, respectively;

C3. the functions $\{\kappa_i(s, x_i, t)\}_{i=1}^3$, $(s, x_i, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \ell_i] \times [0,T]$ are continuous in their domains of definition and have the partial derivatives with respect to s, $k \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\kappa_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, and there exists a positive constant k_+ such that

$$0 \le k'(s) \le k_+, \quad 0 \le \kappa_0'(s) \le k_+, \quad 0 \le \partial_s \kappa_i(s, x_i, t) \le k_+ \quad \text{for} \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}$$

uniformly with respect to $x_i \in [0, \ell_i]$ and $t \in [0,T]$, respectively;

(a) if $\alpha_0 < 0$, then in addition, the function κ_0 is a C^2-function with bounded derivatives, there exists a constant k_- such that $0 < k_- \le \kappa_0'(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_0(0) = 0$ (so-called condition of zero-absorption).

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*$ the dual space to the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \left\{u \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) : u|_{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i)} = 0,\ i = 1,2,3\right\}$. Recall that a function $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T; \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)$, with $\partial_t u_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T; \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*)$, is called a weak solution to the problem (2.1) if it satisfies the integral identity

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_t u_\varepsilon\, v\, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v\, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} k(u_\varepsilon)\, v\, dx + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \kappa_0(u_\varepsilon)\, v\, d\sigma_x + \sum_{i=1}^3 \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \kappa_i(u_\varepsilon, x_i, t)\, v\, d\sigma_x = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} f\, v\, dx + \sum_{i=0}^3 \varepsilon^{\beta_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\, v\, d\sigma_x \tag{2.3}$$

for any function $v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ and a.e. $t \in (0,T)$, and $u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0$. It is known (see e.g. [47]) that $u_\varepsilon \in C\big([0,T]; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)\big)$, and thus the equality $u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0$ makes sense.

The aim of the present paper is to

- construct the asymptotic approximation for the solution to the problem (2.1) as the parameter $\varepsilon \to 0$;
- derive the corresponding limit problem ($\varepsilon = 0$);
- prove the corresponding asymptotic estimates from which the influence of the local geometric heterogeneity of the node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ and physical processes inside will be observed;
- study the influence of the parameters $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=0}^3$ on the asymptotic behavior of the solution.

2.1. **Comments to the statement.** To our knowledge, the first works on the study of boundary-value problems for reaction-diffusion equations were papers by Kolmogorov, Petrovskii, Piskunov [40] and Fisher [41]. Standard assumptions for reaction terms of semilinear equations are as follows:

- $\exists\, C > 0 \quad \forall\, s_1,\, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}: \quad |k(s_1) - k(s_2)| \le C|s_1 - s_2|$;
- $\exists\, C_1 > 0 \quad C_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}: \quad k(s)s \ge C_1 s^2 - C_2$.

This is sufficient for the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution. However, many physical processes, especially in chemistry and medicine, have monotonous nature. Therefore, it is naturally to impose special monotonous conditions for nonlinear terms. In our case we propose simple conditions (2.2) which are easy to verify. For instance, the functions

$$k(s) = \lambda s + \cos s \quad (\lambda \ge 1); \qquad k(s) = \frac{\lambda s}{1 + \nu s} \quad (\lambda, \nu > 0)$$

satisfy this condition. The last one corresponds to the Michaelis-Menten hypothesis in biochemical reactions and to the Langmuir kinetics adsorption models (see [42,43]).

From conditions (2.2) it follows the following inequalities:

$$\begin{gathered} k(0)s \le k(s)s \le k_+\, s^2 + k(0)s, \qquad \kappa_0(0)s \le \kappa_0(s)s \le k_+\, s^2 + \kappa_0(0)s, \\ \kappa_i(0,x_i,t)s \le \kappa_i(s,x_i,t)s \le k_+\, s^2 + \kappa_i(0,x_i,t)s, \quad \text{for} \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

uniformly with respect to $(x_i,t) \in [0,\ell_i] \times [0,T]$, respectively; $i = 1,2,3$. For the case **C3**(a) we have

$$k_-\, s^2 \le \kappa_0(s)s \le k_+\, s^2 \qquad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

Doubtless both the function k and κ_0 may also depend on x and t. However, we have omitted this dependence to avoid cumbersome formulas, leaving it only for the functions $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^3$.

As will be seen from further calculations in the case when some parameter $\alpha_i > 1$, the condition (2.2) for the corresponding function κ_i can be weakened. In this case it is sufficient that κ_i is continuous and there exist constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 \ge 0$ such that for any s_1, s_2, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_i \in [0,\ell_i]$, $t \in [0,T]$:

$$\big(\kappa_i(s_1,x_i,t) - \kappa_i(s_2,x_i,t)\big)(s_1 - s_2) \ge 0, \qquad \big|\kappa_i(s,x_i,t)\big| \le c_1\big(1 + |s|\big), \qquad \kappa_i(s,x_i,t)s \ge -c_2.$$

It should be noted here that the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the reaction-diffusion equation in different kind of thin domains with the uniform Neumann conditions was studied in [44,45]. The convergence theorems were proved under the following assumptions for the reaction term k : in [44] it is a C^2 -function with bounded derivatives and

$$\liminf_{|s|\to+\infty} \frac{k(s)}{s} > 0; \tag{2.6}$$

in [45] it is a C^1 -function, $|k'(s)| \le C(1 + |s|^q)$, where $q \in (0,+\infty)$, and the dissipative condition (2.6) is satisfied. It is easy to see that from (2.5) it follows (2.6).

In a typical interpretation the solution to the problem (2.1) denotes the density of some quantity (temperature, chemical concentration, the potential of a vector-field, etc.) within the thin star-shaped junction Ω_ε. The nonlinear Robin boundary conditions considered in this problem mean that there is some interaction between the surrounding density and the density just inside Ω_ε. It is evident from the results we have presented that these conditions (essentially the condition at the boundary of the node) have a substantial influence on the asymptotic behavior of the solution. To study this influence, we introduce special intensity factors ε^{α_i}, $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. Since in this paper we are more interested in the study of the boundary interactions at the node, we take the parameter α_0 from $\mathbb{R}$ and the other ones from $[1,+\infty)$. The case when $\alpha_i < 1$ $(i \in \{1,2,3\})$ is only discussed in Sec. 7.

3. Existence and uniqueness of the weak solution

In order to obtain the operator statement for the problem (2.1) we introduce the new norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$, which is generated by the scalar product

$$(u,v)_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v\, dx, \quad u,v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon.$$

Due to the uniform Dirichlet condition on $\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i)$, $i = 1,2,3$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ and the ordinary norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$ are uniformly equivalent, *i.e.*, there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ and for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ the following estimate hold:

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon \le \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \le C_1 \|u\|_\varepsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.1. *Here and in what follows all constants $\{C_j\}$ and $\{c_j\}$ in inequalities are independent of the parameter ε.*

Further we will often use the inequalities

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} v^2 \, d\sigma_x \le C_2 \left(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}} v^2 \, dx \right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}} v^2 \, dx \le C_3 \left(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} v^2 \, d\sigma_x \right) \qquad \forall\, v \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}), \quad (i \in \{1,2,3\}) \tag{3.3}$$

proved in [46]. Let us prove similar inequalities for the node $(i = 0)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Q be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the smooth boundary ∂Q. Then there exists a positive constant $C_2 > 0$ that is independent of ε such that for any function v from the space $H^1(Q_\varepsilon)$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$\varepsilon \int\limits_{\partial Q_\varepsilon} v^2 \, d\sigma_x \le C_2 \left(\varepsilon^2 \int\limits_{Q_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \int\limits_{Q_\varepsilon} v^2 \, dx \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int\limits_{Q_\varepsilon} v^2 \, dx \le C_3 \left(\varepsilon^2 \int\limits_{Q_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon \int\limits_{\partial Q_\varepsilon} v^2 \, d\sigma_x \right), \tag{3.4}$$

where $Q_\varepsilon := \varepsilon\, Q$ is the homothetic transformation with the coefficient ε of Q.

Proof. Let $r(\mathfrak{s}) = \big(r_1(\mathfrak{s}),\ r_2(\mathfrak{s}),\ r_3(\mathfrak{s})\big)$, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, be a smooth parametrisation of ∂Q. Then $r_\varepsilon := \varepsilon r = \big(\varepsilon r_1,\ \varepsilon r_2,\ \varepsilon r_3\big)$ is the parametrization of ∂Q_ε. Denote by $\rho(r) := \sqrt{EG - F^2}$, where $E = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \mathfrak{s}_1}\right)^2$, $G = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \mathfrak{s}_2}\right)^2$, $F = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \mathfrak{s}_1} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \mathfrak{s}_2}$. Then $\rho(r_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2 \rho(r)$. Using definition of the surface integral, we get

$$\int_{\partial Q_\varepsilon} v^2(x) \, d\sigma_x = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} v^2\big(r_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{s})\big) \rho\big(r_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{s})\big) \, d\mathfrak{s} = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathfrak{S}} v^2\big(\varepsilon r(\mathfrak{s})\big) \rho\big(r(\mathfrak{s})\big) \, d\mathfrak{s} = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\partial Q} v_\varepsilon^2(\xi) \, d\sigma_\xi \tag{3.5}$$

for all $v \in H^1(Q_\varepsilon)$, where $v_\varepsilon(\xi) := v(\varepsilon\xi)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, and $x = \varepsilon\xi$.

Taking into account the boundedness of the trace operator, i.e.,

$$\exists c_0 > 0 : \qquad \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\partial Q)} \le c_0 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(Q)},$$

where constant c_0 does not depend on v_ε, and the equality

$$\varepsilon^3 \left(\int_Q |\nabla_\xi v_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\xi + \int_Q v_\varepsilon^2 \, d\xi \right) = \varepsilon^2 \int_{Q_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \int_{Q_\varepsilon} v^2 \, dx,$$

we obtain the first inequality in (3.4). By the same arguments we can prove the second one. □

It is easy to prove the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}} v^2 \, dx \le C_4 \varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i)} v^2 \, d\overline{x}_i \right)$$

and then with the help of the first inequality in (3.4) the following one:

$$\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} v^2 \, d\sigma_x \le C_5 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i)} v^2 \, d\overline{x}_i \right) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $v \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and $i \in \{1,2,3\}$.

Define a nonlinear operator $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) : \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*$ through the relation

$$\big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)u, v \big\rangle_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} k(u) v \, dx + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \kappa_0(u) v \, d\sigma_x + \sum_{i=1}^3 \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \kappa_i(u, x_i, t) v \, d\sigma_x \qquad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon,$$

and the linear functional $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(t) \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*$ by the formula

$$\big\langle \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(t), v\big\rangle_\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} f\, v\, dx + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \varepsilon^{\beta_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\, v\, d\sigma_x \qquad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon,$$

for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\varepsilon$ is the duality pairing of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*$ and $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$.

Then the integral identity (2.3) can be rewritten as follows

$$\big\langle \partial_t u_\varepsilon, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon + \big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) u_\varepsilon, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon = \big\langle \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(t), v\big\rangle_\varepsilon \qquad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon, \tag{3.7}$$

for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$, and $u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0$.

To prove the well-posedness result, we verify some properties of the operator $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ for a fixed value of ε.

(1) With the help of (2.4) and Cauchy's inequality with $\delta > 0$ ($ab \le \delta a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\delta}$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) v, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon \\
&\ge \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla v|^2\, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} k(0)\, v\, dx + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \kappa_0(0)\, v\, d\sigma_x + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \kappa_i(0, x_i, t)\, v\, d\sigma_x \\
&\ge \|v\|_\varepsilon^2 - \delta \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v^2\, dx + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} v^2\, d\sigma_x + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} v^2\, d\sigma_x \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{4\delta} \left(|k(0)|^2\, |\Omega_\varepsilon|_3 + \varepsilon^{2\alpha_0} |\kappa_0(0)|^2\, |\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}|_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{2\alpha_i - 1} \max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]} |\kappa_i(0, x_i, t)|^2\, |\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}|_2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Here and in what follows $|S|_n$ is the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a set S. Then using (3.1), (3.2), (3.6) and recalling the assumption **C3** (a), we can select appropriate δ such that

$$\big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) v, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon \ge C_6 \|v\|_\varepsilon^2 - C_7 \varepsilon^2 \left(1 + \varepsilon^{2\alpha_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{2\alpha_i - 2} \right) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon.$$

This inequality means that the operator $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is coercive for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$.

(2) Let us show that it is strongly monotone for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$. Taking into account (2.2), we get

$$\big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) u_1 - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) u_2,\ u_1 - u_2\big\rangle_\varepsilon \ge \|u_1 - u_2\|_\varepsilon^2 \qquad \forall u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon.$$

(3) The operator $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is hemicontinuous for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$. Indeed, the real valued function

$$[0,1] \ni \tau \to \big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon[u_1 + \tau v], u_2\big\rangle_\varepsilon$$

is continuous on $[0,1]$ for all fixed $u_1, u_2, v \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ due to the continuity of the functions k, $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=0}^3$ and Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem.

(4) Let us prove that operator $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is bounded. Using Cauchy-Bunyakovsky integral inequality, (3.1) and (2.4), we deduce the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon u, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon \le \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v\, dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \big(k_+ |u| + |k(0)|\big)|v|\, dx \\
&+\varepsilon^{\alpha_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \big(k_+ |u| + |\kappa_0(0)|\big)|v|\, d\sigma_x + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}} \big(k_+ |u| + |\kappa_i(0, x_i, t)|\big)|v|\, d\sigma_x \\
&\le \|u\|_\varepsilon \|v\|_\varepsilon + k_+ \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + k_+ \sum_{i=0}^{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)})} \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)})} + |k(0)| \sqrt{|\Omega_\varepsilon|_3}\, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \\
&+ \varepsilon^{\alpha_0} |\kappa_0(0)| \sqrt{|\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}|_2}\, \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)})} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]} |\kappa_i(0, x_i, t)| \sqrt{|\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}|_2}\, \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now with the help of (3.2) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\left\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon u, v\right\rangle_\varepsilon \le C_8\left(1+\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\alpha_i-1}\right)\left(\varepsilon+\|u\|_\varepsilon\right)\|v\|_\varepsilon \qquad \forall\, u,v\in\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon \quad \text{and a.e. } t\in(0,T).$$

Thus, the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution for every fixed value ε follow directly from Corollary 4.1 (see [47, Chapter 3]).

3.1. **A priori estimates.** Taking into account (3.8), (3.2) and (3.6), we derive from (3.7) that

$$\frac12\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^2(x,\tau)\,dx+c_3\int_0^\tau \|u\|_\varepsilon\,dt-c_4\varepsilon^2\tau\left(|k(0)|^2+\varepsilon^{2\alpha_0}|\kappa_0(0)|^2+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{2\alpha_i-2}\max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]}|\kappa_i(0,x_i,t)|^2\right)$$
$$\le\delta\int_0^\tau\|u\|_\varepsilon^2\,dt+\frac{c_5}{4\delta}\int_0^\tau\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}dt+\frac{\varepsilon^{2\beta_0}c_6}{4\delta}\int_0^\tau\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)})}dt+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\varepsilon^{2\beta_i-1}c_7}{4\delta}\int_0^\tau\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)})}dt$$

for any $\tau\in(0,T]$. Selecting appropriate $\delta>0$ and taking the conditions **C1** – **C3(a)** into account, we obtain the uniform estimate

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)}$$
$$\le C_0\left(\sqrt{T}\varepsilon\left(|k(0)|+\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}|\kappa_0(0)|+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\alpha_i-1}\max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]}|\kappa_i(0,x_i,t)|\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon\times(0,T))}+\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T))}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\beta_i-\frac12}\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T))}\right)\le C_1\varepsilon \tag{3.10}$$

for all values of the parameters $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^3$ and $\beta_0\ge0,\ \beta_i\ge1,\ i\in\{1,2,3\}$.

Now let us consider the case **C3**(a) $(\alpha_0<0)$. From the integral identity (2.3) and inequalities (2.4), (2.5), (3.2), (3.1), (3.6) and (3.10) it follows

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}u_\varepsilon^2\,d\sigma_x dt\le C_1\left(\sqrt{T}\varepsilon\left(|k(0)|+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\alpha_i-1}\max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]}|\kappa_i(0,x_i,t)|\right)\right.$$
$$\left.\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon\times(0,T))}+\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T))}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\beta_i-\frac12}\|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T))}\right)\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)}\le C_2\varepsilon^2.$$

Now with the help of (3.4) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}u_\varepsilon^2\,dxdt\le C_3\left(\varepsilon^2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}|\nabla_x u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha_0}\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}u_\varepsilon^2\,d\sigma_x dt\right)\le C_4\varepsilon^{\vartheta},\tag{3.11}$$

where $\vartheta:=\min\{4,\ 3-\alpha_0\}$. This means that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}u_\varepsilon^2\,dxdt\le C_5\,\varepsilon^{\min\{1,-\alpha_0\}}\longrightarrow0\quad\text{as}\quad\varepsilon\to0.\tag{3.12}$$

4. Formal asymptotic approximation. The case $\alpha_0\ge0,\ \alpha_i\ge1,\ i\in\{1,2,3\}$.

In this section we assume that the functions $f,\ k,\ \{\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)},\ \kappa_i\}_{i=0}^3$ are smooth enough. Following the approach of [37], we propose ansatzes of the asymptotic approximation for the solution to the problem (2.1) in the following form:

(1) the regular parts of the approximation

$$\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon^2 u_2^{(i)}\left(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon},x_i,t\right)+\varepsilon^3 u_3^{(i)}\left(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon},x_i,t\right) \tag{4.1}$$

is located inside of each thin cylinder $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ and their terms depend both on the corresponding longitudinal variable x_i and so-called "fast variables" $\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon}$ $(i=1,2,3)$;

(2) and the inner part of the approximation

$$N_0\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)+\varepsilon N_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)+\varepsilon^2 N_2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right) \tag{4.2}$$

is located in a neighborhood of the node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$.

4.1. **Regular parts.** Substituting the representation (4.1) for each fixed index $i\in\{1,2,3\}$ into the differential equation of the problem (2.1), using Taylor's formula for the function f at the point $\overline{x}_i=(0,0)$ for the function k at $\omega_0^{(i)}$, and collecting coefficients at ε^0, we obtain

$$-\Delta_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)=-\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)+\frac{\partial^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial {x_i}^2}(x_i,t)-k\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)+f_0^{(i)}(x_i,t), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\overline{\xi}_i=\dfrac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon}$ and $f_0^{(i)}(x_i,t):=f(x,t)|_{\overline{x}_i=(0,0)}$.

It is easy to calculate the outer unit normal to $\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(i)}(x_i,\,\overline{\xi}_i)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_i'(x_i)|^2}}\big(-\varepsilon h_i'(x_i),\,\overline{\nu}_i(\overline{\xi}_i)\big)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{\big(-\varepsilon h_1'(x_1),\;\nu_2^{(1)}(\overline{\xi}_1),\;\nu_3^{(1)}(\overline{\xi}_1)\big)}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_1'(x_1)|^2}}, & i=1,\\[2ex] \dfrac{\big(\nu_1^{(2)}(\overline{\xi}_2),\;-\varepsilon h_2'(x_2),\;\nu_3^{(2)}(\overline{\xi}_2)\big)}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_2'(x_2)|^2}}, & i=2,\\[2ex] \dfrac{\big(\nu_1^{(3)}(\overline{\xi}_3),\;\nu_2^{(3)}(\overline{\xi}_3),\;-\varepsilon h_3'(x_3)\big)}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_3'(x_3)|^2}}, & i=3,\end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\nu}_i(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon})$ is the outward normal for the disk $\Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x_i):=\{\overline{\xi}_i\in\mathbb{R}^2\;:\;|\overline{\xi}_i|<h_i(x_i)\}$.

Taking the view of the outer unit normal into account and putting the sum (4.1) into the third relation of the problem (2.1), we get with the help of Taylor's formula for the function κ_i the following relation:

$$\varepsilon\partial_{\overline{\nu}_i(\overline{\xi}_i)}u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)=\varepsilon\,h_i'(x_i)\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)-\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)+\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t). \tag{4.4}$$

Relations (4.3) and (4.4) form the linear inhomogeneous Neumann boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t) &=& -\dfrac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)+\dfrac{\partial^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial {x_i}^2}(x_i,t)-k\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)+f_0^{(i)}(x_i,t), & \overline{\xi}_i\in\Upsilon_i(x_i),\\[2ex] \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}}u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t) &=& h_i'(x_i)\dfrac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)-\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)+\delta_{\beta_i,1}\,\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t), & \\ & & \qquad\qquad\overline{\xi}_i\in\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i),\\ \langle u_2^{(i)}(\,\cdot\,,x_i,t)\rangle_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)} &=& 0, & \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

to define $u_2^{(i)}$. Here $\langle u(\,\cdot\,,x_i,t)\rangle_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}:=\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}u(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)d\overline{\xi}_i$, the variables (x_i,t) are regarded as parameters from $I_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T)$, where $I_\varepsilon^{(i)}:=\{x:\;x_i\in(\varepsilon\ell_0,\,\ell_i),\;\overline{x_i}=(0,0)\}$. We add the third relation in (4.5) for the uniqueness of a solution.

Writing down the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the problem (4.5), we derive the differential equation

$$\pi h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)-\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)+\pi h_i^2(x_i)k\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)+2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(x_i)\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)$$
$$=\pi h_i^2(x_i)f_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\delta_{\beta_i,1}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i},\quad (x_i,t)\in I_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T),\quad (4.6)$$

to define $\omega_0^{(i)}$ $(i\in\{1,2,3\})$.

Let $\omega_0^{(i)}$ be a solution of the differential equation (4.6) (its existence will be proved in the subsection 4.2.1). Thus, there exists a unique solution to the problem (4.5) for each $i\in\{1,2,3\}$.

For determination of the coefficients $u_3^{(i)}$, $i=1,2,3$, we similarly obtain the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_3^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t) &=& -\dfrac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)+\dfrac{\partial^2\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial {x_i}^2}(x_i,t)-k'\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)+f_1^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t), \\ & & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \overline{\xi}_i\in\Upsilon_i(x_i), \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}}u_3^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t) &=& h_i'(x_i)\dfrac{d\omega_1^{(i)}}{dx_i}(x_i,t)-\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) \\ & & -\delta_{\alpha_i,2}\,\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)+\delta_{\beta_i,2}\,\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t), \qquad \overline{\xi}_i\in\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i), \\ \langle u_3^{(i)}(\,\cdot\,,x_i,t)\rangle_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)} &=& 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

for each $i\in\{1,2,3\}$. Here

$$f_1^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)=\sum_{j=1}^{3}(1-\delta_{ij})\,\xi_j\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}f(x,t)|_{\overline{x}_i=(0,0)}.$$

Repeating the previous reasoning, we find that the coefficients $\{\omega_1^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ have to be solutions to the respective linear ordinary differential equation

$$\pi h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)-\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)$$
$$+\pi h_i^2(x_i)k'\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)+2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(x_i)\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)$$
$$=\int\limits_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}f_1^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,d\overline{\xi}_i-2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,2}\,h_i(x_i)\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)$$
$$+\delta_{\beta_i,2}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i},\quad (x_i,t)\in I_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T)\quad \big(i\in\{1,2,3\}\big).\quad (4.8)$$

4.2. **Inner part.** To obtain conditions for the functions $\{\omega_n^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$, $n\in\{0,1\}$ at the point $(0,0,0)$, we introduce the inner part of the asymptotic approximation (4.2) in a neighborhood of the node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$. If we pass to the "fast variables" $\xi=\frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ and tend ε to 0, the domain Ω_ε is transformed into the unbounded domain Ξ that is the union of the domain $\Xi^{(0)}$ and three semibounded cylinders

$$\Xi^{(i)}=\{\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3\ :\quad \ell_0<\xi_i<+\infty,\quad |\overline{\xi}_i|<h_i(0)\},\qquad i=1,2,3,$$

i.e., Ξ is the interior of $\bigcup_{i=0}^3\overline{\Xi^{(i)}}$ (see Fig. 4).



FIGURE 4. The domain Ξ

Let us introduce the following notation for parts of the boundary of the domain Ξ:

$$\Gamma_i = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \quad \ell_0 < \xi_i < +\infty, \quad |\overline{\xi}_i| = h_i(0)\}, \quad i = 1,2,3, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_0 = \partial\Xi \setminus \Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_i \Big).$$

Substituting (4.2) into the problem (2.1) and equating coefficients at the same powers of ε, we derive the following relations for N_n, $(n \in \{0,1,2\})$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} -\Delta_\xi N_n(\xi,t) & = & F_n(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Xi, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} N_n(\xi,t) & = & B_n^{(0)}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_0, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} N_n(\xi,t) & = & B_n^{(i)}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1,2,3, \\ N_n(\xi,t) & \sim & \omega_n^{(i)}(0,t) + \Psi_n^{(i)}(\xi,t), & \xi_i \to +\infty, \ \ \overline{\xi}_i \in \Upsilon_i(0), \quad i = 1,2,3. \end{array} \right. \tag{4.9}$$

Here

$$F_0 \equiv F_1 \equiv 0, \quad F_2(\xi,t) = -\,\partial_t N_0 - k(N_0) + f(0,t), \quad \xi \in \Xi,$$

$$B_0^{(0)} \equiv 0, \qquad B_1^{(0)} = -\,\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0(N_0) + \delta_{\beta_0,0}\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$

$$B_2^{(0)}(\xi,t) = -\,\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0'(N_0)N_1 - \delta_{\alpha_0,1}\,\kappa_0(N_0) + \delta_{\beta_0,1}\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t), \quad \xi \in \Gamma_0,$$

$$B_0^{(i)} \equiv B_1^{(i)} \equiv 0, \qquad B_2^{(i)}(\xi,t) = -\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,\kappa_i(N_0,0,t) + \delta_{\beta_i,1}\,\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t), \quad \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1,2,3.$$

The variable t is regarded as parameter from $(0,T)$. The right hand sides in the differential equation and boundary conditions on $\{\Gamma_i\}$ of the problem (4.9) are obtained with the help of the Taylor's formula for the functions f, k and $\varphi^{(i)}$, κ_0, κ_i at the points $x = 0$, $s = N_0$ and $x_i = 0$, $i = 1,2,3$, respectively.

The fourth condition in (4.9) appears by matching the regular and inner asymptotics in a neighborhood of the node, namely the asymptotics of the terms $\{N_n\}$ as $\xi_i \to +\infty$ have to coincide with the corresponding asymptotics of the terms $\{\omega_n^{(i)}\}$ as $x_i = \varepsilon\xi_i \to +0$, $i = 1,2,3$, respectively. Expanding formally each term of the regular asymptotics in the Taylor series at the points $x_i = 0$ and collecting the coefficients of the

same powers of ε, we get

$$\Psi_0^{(i)} \equiv 0, \qquad \Psi_1^{(i)}(\xi,t) = \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t), \quad i=1,2,3,$$
$$\Psi_2^{(i)}(\xi,t) = \frac{\xi_i^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}(0,t) + \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) + u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t), \quad i=1,2,3. \tag{4.10}$$

A solution of the problem (4.9) at $n=1,2$ is sought in the form

$$N_n(\xi,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Psi_n^{(i)}(\xi,t)\chi_i(\xi_i) + \widetilde{N}_n(\xi,t), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\chi_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $0 \le \chi_i \le 1$ and

$$\chi_i(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad \xi_i \le 1+\ell_0, \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad \xi_i \ge 2+\ell_0, \end{cases} \qquad i=1,2,3.$$

Then $\widetilde{N}_n$ has to be a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\xi \widetilde{N}_n(\xi,t) &=& \widetilde{F}_n(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Xi, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} \widetilde{N}_n(\xi,t) &=& \widetilde{B}_n^{(0)}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_0, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} \widetilde{N}_n(\xi,t) &=& \widetilde{B}_n^{(i)}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i=1,2,3, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\widetilde{F}_1(\xi,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Big(\xi_i \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)\chi_i''(\xi_i) + 2\frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)\chi_i'(\xi_i) \Big),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_2(\xi,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Bigg[& \Big(\frac{\xi_i^2}{2}\frac{d^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{dx_i^2}(0,t) + \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) + u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t) \Big)\chi_i''(\xi_i) \\ & + 2\Big(\xi_i \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}(0,t) + \frac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) \Big)\chi_i'(\xi_i) \Bigg] \\ & - \partial_t N_0 - k\big(N_0\big) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Big(\partial_t \omega_0^{(i)}(0,t) + k\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t)\big) \Big)\chi_i(\xi_i) + \Big(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \chi_i(\xi_i) \Big) f(0,t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{B}_1^{(0)} = -\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0(N_0) + \delta_{\beta_0,0}\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t), \qquad \widetilde{B}_2^{(0)}(\xi,t) = -\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0'(N_0)N_1 - \delta_{\alpha_0,1}\,\kappa_0(N_0) + \delta_{\beta_0,1}\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$
$$\widetilde{B}_1^{(i)} \equiv 0, \qquad \widetilde{B}_2^{(i)}(\xi,t) = -\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\Big(\kappa_i\big(N_0,0,t\big) - \kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t),0,t\big)\chi_i(\xi_i) \Big) + \delta_{\beta_i,1}\,\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t)\big(1-\chi_i(\xi_i)\big),$$

for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. In addition, we demand that $\widetilde{N}_n$ satisfies the following stabilization conditions:

$$\widetilde{N}_n(\xi,t) \to \omega_n^{(i)}(0,t) \quad \text{as} \quad \xi_i \to +\infty, \ \ \overline{\xi}_i \in \Upsilon_i(0), \quad i=1,2,3. \tag{4.13}$$

The existence of a solution to the problem (4.12) in the corresponding energetic space can be obtained from general results about the asymptotic behavior of solutions to elliptic problems in domains with different exits to infinity (see e.g. [48, 49]). We will use approach proposed in [49, 50].

Let $C^\infty_{0,\xi}(\overline{\Xi})$ be a space of functions infinitely differentiable in $\overline{\Xi}$ and finite with respect to ξ, i.e.,

$$\forall\, v \in C^\infty_{0,\xi}(\overline{\Xi}) \quad \exists\, R>0 \quad \forall\, \xi \in \overline{\Xi} \quad \xi_i \ge R, \quad i=1,2,3: \quad v(\xi)=0.$$

We now define a space $\mathcal{H} := \overline{\left(C^\infty_{0,\xi}(\overline{\Xi}),\ \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right)}$, where

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{\int_\Xi |\nabla v(\xi)|^2\,d\xi + \int_\Xi |v(\xi)|^2|\rho(\xi)|^2\,d\xi}\,,$$

and the weight function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $0 \le \rho \le 1$ and

$$\rho(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad \xi \in \Xi^{(0)}, \\ |\xi_i|^{-1}, & \text{if} \quad \xi_i \ge \ell_0 + 1,\ \xi \in \Xi^{(i)}, \quad i = 1,2,3. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.1. *A function $\widetilde{N}_n$ from the space $\mathcal{H}$ is called a weak solution of the problem (4.12) if the identity*

$$\int_\Xi \nabla\widetilde{N}_n \cdot \nabla v\,d\xi = \int_\Xi \widetilde{F}_n\,v\,d\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{3}\int_{\Gamma_i} \widetilde{B}_n^{(i)}\,v\,d\sigma_\xi$$

holds for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Similarly as in [50], we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\rho^{-1}\widetilde{F}_n(\cdot\,,t) \in L^2(\Xi)$, $\widetilde{B}_n^{(0)}(\cdot\,,t) \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$, $\rho^{-1}\widetilde{B}_n^{(i)}(\cdot\,,t) \in L^2(\Gamma_i)$, $i = 1,2,3$, for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$. Then there exist a weak solution of problem (4.12) if and only if*

$$\int_\Xi \widetilde{F}_n\,d\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{3}\int_{\Gamma_i} \widetilde{B}_n^{(i)}\,d\sigma_\xi = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

This solution is defined up to an additive constant. The additive constant can be chosen to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of problem (4.12) with the following differentiable asymptotics:

$$\widehat{N}_n(\xi,t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_1\xi_1)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_1 \to +\infty, \\ \boldsymbol{\delta_n^{(2)}}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_2\xi_2)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_2 \to +\infty, \\ \boldsymbol{\delta_n^{(3)}}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_3\xi_3)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_3 \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

where γ_i, $i = 1,2,3$ are positive constants.

The values $\boldsymbol{\delta_n^{(2)}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta_n^{(3)}}$ in (4.15) are defined as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta_n^{(i)}}(t) = \int_\Xi \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,\widetilde{F}_n(\xi,t)\,d\xi + \sum_{j=0}^{3}\int_{\Gamma_j} \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,\widetilde{B}_n^{(j)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi, \quad i = 2,3, \quad n \in \{0,1,2\}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{N}_3$ are special solutions to the corresponding homogeneous problem

$$-\Delta_\xi\mathfrak{N} = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Xi, \qquad \partial_\nu\mathfrak{N} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Xi, \tag{4.17}$$

for the problem (4.12).

Proposition 4.2. *The problem (4.17) has two linearly independent solutions $\mathfrak{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{N}_3$ that do not belong to the space $\mathcal{H}$ and they have the following differentiable asymptotics:*

$$\mathfrak{N}_2(\xi) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{\xi_1}{\pi h_1^2(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_1\xi_1)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_1 \to +\infty, \\ \dfrac{\xi_2}{\pi h_2^2(0)} + C_2^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_2\xi_2)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_2 \to +\infty, \\ C_2^{(3)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_3\xi_3)) & \text{as} \quad \xi_3 \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_3(\xi) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{\xi_1}{\pi h_1^2(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_1\xi_1)) & \text{as } \xi_1 \to +\infty, \\ C_3^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_2\xi_2)) & \text{as } \xi_2 \to +\infty, \\ \dfrac{\xi_3}{\pi h_3^2(0)} + C_3^{(3)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_3\xi_3)) & \text{as } \xi_3 \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

Any other solution to the homogeneous problem, which has polynomial growth at infinity, can be presented as a linear combination $\mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2\mathfrak{N}_2 + \mathfrak{c}_3\mathfrak{N}_3$.

Proof. The solution $\mathfrak{N}_2$ is sought in the form of a sum

$$\mathfrak{N}_2(\xi) = -\frac{\xi_1}{\pi h_1^2(0)}\,\chi_1(\xi_1) + \frac{\xi_2}{\pi h_2^2(0)}\,\chi_2(\xi_2) + \widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}_2(\xi),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}_2$ is the solution to the problem (4.12) with right-hand sides

$$\widetilde{F}_2^*(\xi) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi h_1^2(0)}\Big(\big(\xi_1\,\chi_1'(\xi_1)\big)' + \chi_1'(\xi_1)\Big), & \xi \in \Xi^{(1)}, \\ -\dfrac{1}{\pi h_2^2(0)}\Big(\big(\xi_2\,\chi_2'(\xi_2)\big)' + \chi_2'(\xi_2)\Big), & \xi \in \Xi^{(2)}, \\ 0\,, & \xi \in \Xi^{(0)} \cup \Xi^{(3)}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that the solvability condition (4.14) is satisfied. Thus, by virtue of Proposition 4.1 there exist a unique solution $\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ that has the asymptotics

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{N}}_2(\xi) = (1-\delta_{j1})C_2^{(j)} + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_j\xi_j)) \quad \text{as } \xi_j \to +\infty, \qquad j = 1,2,3.$$

Similar we can prove the existence of the solution $\mathfrak{N}_3$ with the asymptotics (4.19).

Obviously, that $\mathfrak{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{N}_3$ are linearly independent and any other solution to the homogeneous problem, which has polynomial growth at infinity, can be presented as $\mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2\mathfrak{N}_2 + \mathfrak{c}_3\mathfrak{N}_3$. □

Remark 4.1. *To obtain formulas (4.16) it is necessary to substitute the functions* $\widehat{N}_n, \mathfrak{N}_2$ *and* $\widehat{N}_n, \mathfrak{N}_3$ *in the second Green-Ostrogradsky formula*

$$\int_{\Xi_R} \big(\widehat{N}\,\Delta_\xi\mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{N}\,\Delta_\xi\widehat{N}\big)\,d\xi = \int_{\partial\Xi_R} \big(\widehat{N}\,\partial_{\nu_\xi}\mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{N}\,\partial_{\nu_\xi}\widehat{N}\big)\,d\sigma_\xi$$

respectively, and then pass to the limit as $R \to +\infty$. *Here* $\Xi_R = \Xi \cap \{\xi:\ |\xi_i| < R,\ i = 1,2,3\}$.

4.2.1. *Limit problem.* The problem (4.9) at $n = 0$ is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\xi N_0(\xi,t) &=& 0, & \xi \in \Xi, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} N_0(\xi,t) &=& 0, & \xi \in \Gamma_0, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} N_0(\xi,t) &=& 0, & \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1,2,3, \\ N_0(\xi,t) &\longrightarrow& \omega_0^{(i)}(0,t), & \xi_i \to +\infty, \ \overline{\xi}_i \in \Upsilon_i(0), \quad i = 1,2,3. \end{cases}$$

It is ease to verify that $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{0}}^{(\mathbf{2})} = \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{0}}^{(\mathbf{3})} = 0$ and $\widehat{N}_0 \equiv 0$. Thus, this problem has a solution in $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if

$$\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t) = \omega_0^{(2)}(0,t) = \omega_0^{(3)}(0,t); \tag{4.20}$$

in this case $N_0 = \widetilde{N}_0 = \omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)$.

In the problem (4.12) at $n = 1$ the solvability condition (4.14) reads as follows:

$$\pi h_1^2(0)\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(1)}}{\partial x_1}(0,t) + \pi h_2^2(0)\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(2)}}{\partial x_2}(0,t) + \pi h_3^2(0)\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(3)}}{\partial x_3}(0,t) - \delta_{\alpha_0,0}\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big) = -\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(t), \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(t)=\delta_{\beta_0,0}\int_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi.$$

Substituting (4.1) into the forth condition in (2.1) and neglecting terms of order of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, we arrive to the following boundary conditions:

$$\omega_0^{(i)}(\ell_i,t)=0,\quad i=1,2,3. \tag{4.22}$$

Thus, taking into account (4.6), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22), we obtain for $\{\omega_0^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ the following semi-linear problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\pi h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)-\pi\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)+\pi h_i^2(x_i)\,k\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big) & & & & \\
\qquad+\,2\pi\delta_{\alpha_i,1}h_i(x_i)\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big) &=& \widehat{F}_0^{(i)}(x_i,t), & (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T), & i=1,2,3,\\
\omega_0^{(i)}(\ell_i,t) &=& 0, & t\in(0,T), & i=1,2,3,\\
\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)=\omega_0^{(2)}(0,t) &=& \omega_0^{(3)}(0,t), & t\in(0,T), & \\
\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{3}\pi h_i^2(0)\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)-\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big) &=& -\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(t), & t\in(0,T), & \\
\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,0) &=& 0, & x_i\in I_i, & i=1,2,3,
\end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

where $I_i:=\{x:\ x_i\in(0,\ell_i),\ \overline{x}_i=(0,0)\}$ and

$$\widehat{F}_0^{(i)}(x_i,t):=\pi h_i^2(x_i)\,f(x,t)\,\big|_{\overline{x}_i=(0,0)}+\delta_{\beta_i,1}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i},\qquad x\in I_i. \tag{4.24}$$

The problem (4.23) is called *the limit problem* for problem (2.1).

For functions

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x)=\begin{cases}\phi^{(1)}(x_1), & \text{if}\ \ x_1\in I_1,\\ \phi^{(2)}(x_2), & \text{if}\ \ x_2\in I_2,\\ \phi^{(3)}(x_3), & \text{if}\ \ x_3\in I_3,\end{cases}$$

defined on the graph $\mathcal{I}:=\overline{I_1}\cup\overline{I_2}\cup\overline{I_3}$, we introduce the Sobolev space

$$\mathcal{H}_0:=\Big\{\widetilde{\phi}:\ \phi^{(i)}\in H^1(I_i),\ \ \phi^{(i)}(\ell_i)=0,\ \ i=1,2,3,\ \ \text{and}\ \ \phi^{(1)}(0)=\phi^{(3)}(0)=\phi^{(3)}(0)\Big\}$$

with the scalar product

$$(\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi})_0:=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\pi\int_0^{\ell_i}h_i^2(x_i)\,\frac{d\phi^{(i)}}{dx_i}\,\frac{d\psi^{(i)}}{dx_i}\,dx_i,\qquad \widetilde{\phi},\,\widetilde{\psi}\in\mathcal{H}_0.$$

Definition 4.2. *A function* $\widetilde{\omega} \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_0)$, *with* $\widetilde{\omega}' \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_0^*)$, *is called a weak solution to the problem (4.23) if it satisfies the integral identity*

$$\pi \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_0^{\ell_i} h_i^2(x_i)\partial_t\omega^{(i)}(x_i,t)\psi^{(i)}(x_i)\,dx_i + (\widetilde{\omega},\widetilde{\psi})_0 + \delta_{\alpha_0,0}\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\,\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)\,\psi^{(1)}(0)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\pi\int_0^{\ell_i} h_i^2(x_i)\,k(\omega^{(i)}(x_i,t))\psi^{(i)}(x_i)\,dx_i + 2\pi\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\int_0^{\ell_i} h_i(x_i)\,\kappa_i(\omega^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t)\psi^{(i)}(x_i)\,dx_i\Big)$$
$$= \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(t)\,\psi^{(1)}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_0^{\ell_i}\widehat{F}_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\,\psi^{(i)}(x_i)\,dx_i \quad (4.25)$$

for any function $\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_0$ *and a.e.* $t \in (0,T)$, *and* $\widetilde{\omega}|_{t=0} = 0$.

Similarly as was done in Section 3, the integral identity (4.25) can be rewritten as follows

$$\big\langle \partial_t\widetilde{\omega},\widetilde{\psi}\big\rangle_0 + \big\langle \mathcal{A}_0(t)\,\widetilde{\omega},\widetilde{\psi}\big\rangle_0 = \big\langle \mathcal{F}_0(t),\widetilde{\psi}\big\rangle_0,$$

for all $\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and a.e. $t \in (0,T)$, and $\widetilde{\omega}|_{t=0} = 0$. Here the nonlinear operator $\mathcal{A}_0(t) : \mathcal{H}_0 \mapsto \mathcal{H}_0^*$ is defined through the relation

$$\big\langle \mathcal{A}_0(t)\phi^{(i)},\psi^{(i)}\big\rangle_0 = (\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi})_0 + \delta_{\alpha_0,0}\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\,\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)\,\psi^{(1)}(0)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\pi\int_0^{\ell_i} h_i^2\,k(\phi^{(i)})\psi^{(i)}\,dx_i + 2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\int_0^{\ell_i} h_i\,\kappa_i(\phi^{(i)},x_i,t)\psi^{(i)}\,dx_i\right)$$

for all $\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_0$, and the linear functional $\mathcal{F}_0(t) \in \mathcal{H}_0^*$ is defined by

$$\big\langle \mathcal{F}_0(t),\widetilde{\psi}\big\rangle_0 = \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(t)\,\psi^{(1)}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_0^{\ell_i}\widehat{F}_0^{(i)}\,\psi^{(i)}\,dx_i \qquad \forall\,\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_0,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_0$ is the duality pairing of the dual space $\mathcal{H}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$.

Using (2.2) and (2.4), we can prove that the operator $\mathcal{A}_0$ is bounded, strongly monotone, hemicontinuous and coercive. As a result, the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution to the problem (4.23) follow directly from Corollary 4.1 (see [47, Chapter 3]).

4.2.2. *Problem for* $\{\widetilde{\omega}_1\}$. Let us verify the solvability condition (4.14) for the problem (4.12) at $n = 2$. Knowing that $N_0 \equiv \omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)$ and taking into account the third relation in problem (4.5), the equality (4.14)

can be re-written as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Bigg[\pi h_i^2(0)\int\limits_{\ell_0+1}^{\ell_0+2}\Big(\xi_i\frac{\partial^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial {x_i}^2}(0,t)+\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)\Big)\chi_i'(\xi_i)\,d\xi_i$$
$$-\int\limits_{\ell_0}^{\ell_0+2}(1-\chi_i(\xi_i))\int\limits_{\Upsilon_i(0)}\Big(\partial_t\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)+k\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)-f(0,t)\Big)\,d\overline{\xi}_i\,d\xi_i$$
$$-\int\limits_{\ell_0}^{\ell_0+2}(1-\chi_i(\xi_i))\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(0)}\Big(\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t),0,t\big)-\delta_{\beta_i,1}\,\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t)\Big)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}\,d\xi_i\Bigg]$$
$$-\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\kappa_0'\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)N_1(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi-\delta_{\alpha_0,1}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)\,d\sigma_\xi+\delta_{\beta_0,1}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi$$
$$-\int\limits_{\Xi^{(0)}}\Big(\partial_t\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)+k\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)-f(0,t)\Big)\,d\xi=\ 0.$$

Whence, integrating by parts in the first three integrals with regard to (4.6), we obtain the following relations for $\{\omega_1^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\pi h_i^2(0)\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)=\boldsymbol{d_1^*}(t),\tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{d_1^*}(t)=-\ell_0\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Bigg(\pi h_i^2(0)\Big(\partial_t\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t)+k\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)-f(0,t)\Big)$$
$$+2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(0)\,\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t),0,t\big)-\delta_{\beta_i,1}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(0)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}\Bigg)+\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0'\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}N_1(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi$$
$$+\delta_{\alpha_0,1}\big|\Gamma_0\big|_2\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)-\delta_{\beta_0,1}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi+\big|\Xi^{(0)}\big|_3\Big(\partial_t\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)+k\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)-f(0,t)\Big).\tag{4.27}$$

Hence, if the functions $\{\omega_1^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ satisfy (4.26), then there exist a weak solution $\widetilde{N}_2$ of the problem (4.12). According to Proposition 4.1, it can be chosen in a unique way to guarantee the asymptotics (4.15).

It remains to satisfy the stabilization conditions (4.13) at $n=1$. For this, we represent a weak solution of the problem (4.12) in the following form:

$$\widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t)=\omega_1^{(1)}(0,t)+\widehat{N}_1(\xi,t),\quad \xi\in\Xi^{(1)}.$$

Taking into account the asymptotics (4.15), we have to put

$$\omega_1^{(1)}(0,t)=\omega_1^{(2)}(0,t)-\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}(t)=\omega_1^{(3)}(0,t)-\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}(t).\tag{4.28}$$

As a result, we get the solution of the problem (4.9) with the following asymptotics:

$$N_1(\xi,t)=\omega_1^{(i)}(0,t)+\Psi_1^{(i)}(\xi,t)+\mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_i\xi_i))\quad\text{as}\ \ \xi_i\to+\infty,\quad i=1,2,3.\tag{4.29}$$

Let us denote by

$$G_1(\xi,t):=\omega_1^{(i)}(0,t)+\Psi_1^{(i)}(\xi,t),\quad (\xi,t)\in\Xi^{(i)}\times(0,T),\quad i=1,2,3.$$

Remark 4.2. *Due to (4.29), the function* $N_1 - G_1$ *are exponentially decrease as* $\xi_i \to +\infty$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Relations (4.28) and (4.26) are the first and second transmission conditions for $\{\omega_1^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ at $x = 0$. Thus, the second term of the regular asymptotics $\widetilde{\omega}_1$ is determined from the linear problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}
\pi h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t) - \pi\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right) + \pi h_i^2(x_i)k'\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) & & \\
+\ 2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(x_i)\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) &=& \widehat{F}_1^{(i)}(x_i,t), \quad (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T), \quad i=1,2,3,\\
\omega_1^{(i)}(\ell_i,t) &=& 0, \qquad\qquad t\in(0,T), \quad i=1,2,3,\\
\omega_1^{(1)}(0,t) = \omega_1^{(2)}(0,t) - \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}(t) &=& \omega_1^{(3)}(0,t) - \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}(t), \qquad t\in(0,T),\\
\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\pi h_i^2(0)\dfrac{\partial \omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) &=& \boldsymbol{d_1^*}(t), \qquad\qquad t\in(0,T),\\
\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,0) &=& 0, \qquad\qquad x_i\in I_i, \quad i=1,2,3,
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\widehat{F}_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) = \int\limits_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)} f_1^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,d\overline{\xi}_i - 2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,2}\,h_i(x_i)\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)$$
$$+\,\delta_{\beta_i,2}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}, \quad (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T), \quad i=1,2,3. \tag{4.31}$$

The values $\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}$ are uniquely determined (see Remark 4.1) by formula

$$\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}(t) = \int_{\Xi}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\xi_j\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j''(\xi_j) + 2\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j'(\xi_j)\right)d\xi,$$
$$+\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\Big(-\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big) + \delta_{\beta_0,0}\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\Big)\,d\sigma_\xi, \quad i=2,3. \tag{4.32}$$

With the help of the substitutions $\phi_1^{(1)}(x_1,t) = \omega_1^{(1)}(x_1,t)$, $\phi_1^{(2)}(x_2,t) = \omega_1^{(2)}(x_2,t) - \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}(t)(\ell_2 - x_2)$, $\phi_1^{(3)}(x_3,t) = \omega_1^{(3)}(x_3,t) - \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}(t)(\ell_3 - x_3)$, we reduce the problem (4.30) to the respective linear parabolic problem in the space $L^2\big(0,T;\mathcal{H}_0\big)$. Thus the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the problem (4.30) follow from the classical theory of linear parabolic problems.

5. Justification

With the help of $\widetilde{\omega}_0$, $\widetilde{\omega}_1$, N_1 and smooth cut-off functions defined by formulas

$$\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}(x_i) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if } x_i \ge 3\,\ell_0,\\ 0, & \text{if } x_i \le 2\,\ell_0,\end{array}\right. \qquad i=1,2,3, \tag{5.1}$$

we construct the following asymptotic approximation:

$$U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)\right)$$
$$+\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right)\left(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)+\varepsilon N_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right),\quad x\in\Omega_\varepsilon\times(0,T),\quad(5.2)$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a fixed number from the interval $\left(\frac{2}{3},1\right)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let assumptions made in the statement of the problem (2.1) are satisfied. Then the sum (5.2) is the asymptotic approximation for the solution u_ε to the boundary-value problem (2.1), i.e., $\exists C_0>0\ \ \exists\varepsilon_0>0\ \ \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$:*

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\cdot,t)-u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\left\|U_\varepsilon^{(1)}-u_\varepsilon\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon))}\le C_0\,\mu(\varepsilon),\quad(5.3)$$

where $\mu(\varepsilon)=o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon\to0$ and

$$\mu(\varepsilon)=\left(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left((1-\delta_{\alpha_i,1})\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}+(1-\delta_{\beta_i,1})\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\right)+(1-\delta_{\alpha_0,0})\varepsilon^{\alpha_0+1}+(1-\delta_{\beta_0,0})\varepsilon^{\beta_0+1}\right).\quad(5.4)$$

Proof. Substituting $U_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ in the equations and the boundary conditions of problem (2.1), we find

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t U_\varepsilon^{(1)}-\Delta_x U_\varepsilon^{(1)}+k\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}\right)-f &=& \widehat{R}_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon\times(0,T),\\ \partial_\nu U_\varepsilon^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\kappa_0\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}\right)-\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)} &=& \breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)} & \text{on } \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T),\\ \partial_\nu U_\varepsilon^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\kappa_i\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1)},x_i,t\right)-\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)} &=& \breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(i)} & \text{on } \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T),\quad i=1,2,3,\\ U_\varepsilon^{(1)} &=& 0 & \text{on } \Upsilon_\varepsilon^{(i)}(\ell_i)\times(0,T),\quad i=1,2,3,\end{cases}\quad(5.5)$$

where

$$\widehat{R}_\varepsilon(x,t)=-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(2\varepsilon^{-\mathfrak{a}}\frac{d\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i}(\zeta_i)\Big|_{\zeta_i=\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}}\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)-\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.-\left(\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial\xi_i}(\xi,t)-\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial\xi_i}(\xi,t)\right)\Big|_{\xi=\frac{x}{\varepsilon}}\right)$$
$$+\varepsilon^{-2\mathfrak{a}}\frac{d^2\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i^2}(\zeta_i)\Big|_{\zeta_i=\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}}\left(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)-\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t)-x_i\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)+\varepsilon\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)-\varepsilon\omega_1^{(i)}(0,t)\right.$$
$$\left.-\varepsilon N_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)+\varepsilon G_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right)$$
$$+\chi_\ell^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(-\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)+\frac{\partial^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}(x_i,t)-\varepsilon\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}(x_i,t)\right)\Bigg)$$
$$+\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(0,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right)+k\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)\right)-f(x,t),$$

and

$$\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) = \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\kappa_0\Big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)\Big) - \delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big) - \varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) + \delta_{\beta_0,0}\,\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t),$$

$$\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t) = -\,\frac{\varepsilon h_i'(x_i)}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_i'(x_i)|^2}}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t) + \varepsilon\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)$$
$$+\,\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\kappa_i\Big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t),x_i,t\Big) - \varepsilon^{\beta_i}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t), \qquad i=1,2,3.$$

Since

$$\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,0) = \omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,0) = 0, \qquad x_i\in I_i, \quad i=1,2,3,$$

it follows from (4.9) at $n=1$ that $N_1\big|_{t=0}=0$. As result, asymptotic approximation (5.2) leaves no residuals in the initial condition, i.e.,

$$U_\varepsilon^{(1)}\big|_{t=0} \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

From (5.5) we derive the following integral relation:

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\partial_t U_\varepsilon^{(1)} v\,dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla U_\varepsilon^{(1)}\cdot\nabla v\,dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}k(U_\varepsilon^{(1)})v\,dx + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}}\kappa_0(U_\varepsilon^{(1)})v\,d\sigma_x$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\kappa_i(U_\varepsilon^{(1)},x_i,t)v\,d\sigma_x - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}f\,v\,dx - \sum_{i=0}^{3}\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\,v\,d\sigma_x = R_\varepsilon(v), \quad (5.6)$$

for all $v\in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)$ and a.e. $t\in(0,T)$. Here

$$R_\varepsilon(v) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\widehat{R}_\varepsilon\,v\,dx + \sum_{i=0}^{3}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(i)}\,v\,d\sigma_x.$$

From (4.5) and (4.7) we deduce that integral identities

$$\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\Big(-\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}\Big)\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i = \int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_2^{(i)}\cdot\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i - \int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}h_i'\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}$$
$$+\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}k\big(\omega_0^{(i)}\big)\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i + \delta_{\alpha_i,1}\int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i} - \int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}f_0^{(i)}\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i - \delta_{\beta_i,1}\int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i} \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\Big(-\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i^2}\Big)\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i = \int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_3^{(i)}\cdot\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i - \int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}h_i'\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}$$
$$+\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}k'\big(\omega_0^{(i)}\big)\omega_1^{(i)}\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i + \delta_{\alpha_i,1}\int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\partial_s\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)\omega_1^{(i)}\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i} - \int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}f_1^{(i)}\eta\,d\overline{\xi}_i$$
$$+\,\delta_{\alpha_i,2}\int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i} - \delta_{\beta_i,2}\int_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}\eta\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i} \quad (5.8)$$

hold for all $\eta\in H^1(\Upsilon_i(x_i))$ and for all $(x_i,t)\in I_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T)$, $\quad i=1,2,3.$

Using (5.7) and (5.8), we rewrite R_ε in the form

$$R_\varepsilon(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{12}R_{\varepsilon,j}(v),$$

where

$$R_{\varepsilon,1}(v)=\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\Big(k\big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)\big)-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\Big(k\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\big)+\varepsilon\,k'\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\Big)v(x)\,dx,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,2}(v)=-\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(f(x,t)-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(f_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon f_1^{(i)}\Big(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon},x_i,t\Big)\right)\right)v(x)\,dx,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,3}(v)=\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}}\Big(\kappa_0\big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)\big)-\delta_{\alpha_0,0}\,\kappa_0\big(\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t)\big)\Big)v(x)\,d\sigma_x-\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}}(1-\delta_{\beta_0,0})\,\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t)\,v(x)\,d\sigma_x,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,4}(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\Big(\kappa_i\big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t),x_i,t\big)-\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\Big(\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\big)$$
$$+\varepsilon\;\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\partial_s\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\delta_{\alpha_i,2}\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\big)\Big)\Big)v(x)\,d\sigma_x,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,5}(v)=-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\left(1-\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)(\delta_{\beta_i,1}+\delta_{\beta_i,2})\right)\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}(x,t)\,v(x)\,d\sigma_x,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,6}(v)=\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(0,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial t}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right)v(x)\;dx,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,7}(v)=\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)}}h_i'(x_i)\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2|h_i'(x_i)|^2}}\right)\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)v(x)\,d\sigma_x,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,8}(v)=-2\varepsilon^{-\mathfrak{a}}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{d\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i}(\zeta_i)\Big|_{\zeta_i=\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}}\left(\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)-\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)+\varepsilon\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)v(x)\,dx,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,9}(v)=-\varepsilon^{-2\mathfrak{a}}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{d^2\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i^2}(\zeta_i)\Big|_{\zeta_i=\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}}$$
$$\cdot\left(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)-\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t)-x_i\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)+\varepsilon\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)-\varepsilon\omega_1^{(i)}(0,t)\right)v(x)\,dx,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,10}(v)=-\varepsilon^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{I_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_2^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\cdot\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}v(x,t)\;d\overline{\xi}_i\,dx_i,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,11}(v)=-\varepsilon^3\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{I_\varepsilon^{(i)}}\int_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_3^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\cdot\nabla_{\overline{\xi}_i}v(x,t)\;d\overline{\xi}_i\,dx_i,$$

$$R_{\varepsilon,12}(v)=-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\Bigg(2\varepsilon^{-\mathfrak{a}}\frac{d\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i}(\zeta_i)\Big(\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial\xi_i}(\xi,t)-\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial\xi_i}(\xi,t)\Big)$$
$$+\varepsilon^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\frac{d^2\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}}{d\zeta_i^2}(\zeta_i)\Big(N_1(\xi,t)-G_1(\xi,t)\Big)\Bigg)\Bigg|_{\zeta_i=\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}},\,\xi=\frac{x}{\varepsilon}}v(x)\;dx.$$

Let us estimate the value R_ε. Using (3.2), (3.6) and (2.4), we deduce the following estimates:

$$|R_{\varepsilon,j}(v)| \le \check{C}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sqrt{\pi\ell_i \max_{x_i\in I_i} h_i^2(x_i)}\,\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{|\Xi^{(0)}|_3 + 3\pi\ell_0\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_i^2(0)}\;\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}\right)\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \quad j=1,2, \tag{5.9}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,3}(v)| \le \check{C}\sqrt{|\Gamma_0|_2}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha_0+\delta_{\alpha_0,0}} + \varepsilon^{\beta_0}(1-\delta_{\beta_0,0})\right)\varepsilon\,\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \tag{5.10}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,4}(v)| \le \check{C}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\sqrt{2\pi\ell_i \max_{x_i\in I_i} h_i(x_i)}\;\varepsilon^{\alpha_i+(\delta_{\alpha_i,1}+\delta_{\alpha_i,2})} + \sqrt{6\pi\ell_0 h_i(0)}\;\varepsilon^{\alpha_i+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}\right)\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \tag{5.11}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,5}(v)| \le \check{C}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Bigg((1-\delta_{\beta_i,1}-\delta_{\beta_i,2})\sqrt{2\pi\ell_i \max_{x_i\in I_i} h_i(x_i)}\;\varepsilon^{\beta_i} + (\delta_{\beta_i,1}+\delta_{\beta_i,2})\sqrt{6\pi\ell_0 h_i(0)}\;\varepsilon^{\beta_i+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}\Bigg)\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,6}(v)| \le \check{C}\sqrt{|\Xi^{(0)}|_3 + 3\pi\ell_0\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_i^2(0)}\;\cdot\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}\,\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \tag{5.13}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,7}(v)| \le \check{C}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sqrt{2\pi\ell_i \max_{x_i\in I_i} h_i(x_i)}\;\varepsilon^3\,\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \tag{5.14}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,j}(v)| \le \check{C}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sqrt{\pi\ell_0 h_i^2(0)}\;\;\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}}\,\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \quad j=8,9, \tag{5.15}$$

$$|R_{\varepsilon,10}(v)| \le \check{C}\varepsilon^2\,\|\nabla_x v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \qquad |R_{\varepsilon,11}(v)| \le \check{C}\varepsilon^3\,\|\nabla_x v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}. \tag{5.16}$$

Due to the exponential decreasing of functions $N_1 - G_1$ (see Remark 4.2) and the fact that the support of the derivative of $\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}$ belongs to the set $\{x_i : 2\ell_0\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}} \le x_i \le 3\ell_0\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}\}$, we arrive that

$$|R_{\varepsilon,12}(v)| \le \check{C}\varepsilon^{-1-\mathfrak{a}}\exp\left(-\frac{2\ell_0}{\varepsilon^{1-\mathfrak{a}}}\min_{i=1,2,3}\gamma_i\right)\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}. \tag{5.17}$$

Subtracting the integral identity (2.3) from (5.6) and integrating over $t\in(0,\tau)$, where $\tau\in(0,T]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^\tau \Big(\big\langle \partial_t U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - \partial_t u_\varepsilon,\, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon + \big\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)u_\varepsilon,\, v\big\rangle_\varepsilon\Big)dt = \int_0^\tau R_\varepsilon(v)\,dt \qquad \forall v\in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon). \tag{5.18}$$

Now set $v = U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon$ in (5.18). Then, taking into account that $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is strongly monotone and (5.9)–(5.17), we arrive to the inequality

$$\Big\|U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\cdot,\tau) - u_\varepsilon(\cdot,\tau)\Big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \Big\|U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\Big\|^2_{L^2(0,\tau;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)} \le C\mu(\varepsilon)\,\Big\|U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\Big\|_{L^2(0,\tau;H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon))},$$

whence thanks to (3.1) it follows (5.3). □

Corollary 5.1. *The differences between the solution u_ε of problem (2.1) and the sum*

$$U_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t) + \left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right)\omega_0^{(1)}(0,t), \quad x\in\Omega_\varepsilon\times(0,T)$$

admit the following asymptotic estimate:

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|\,u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) - U_\varepsilon^{(0)}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|\,u_\varepsilon - U_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \le \widetilde{C}_0\,\mu(\varepsilon), \tag{5.19}$$

where $\mu(\varepsilon)$ *is defined in (5.4), and* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is a fixed number from the interval* $\left(\frac{2}{3},1\right)$.

In each thin cylinder $\Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}} := \Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon} \cap \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ x_i \in I^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}} := (3\ell_0\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}, \ell_i)\right\}$, $(i = 1,2,3)$ *the following estimate holds:*

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} \| u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) - \omega^{(i)}_0(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}})} + \| u_\varepsilon - \omega^{(i)}_0\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}}))} \le \widetilde{C}_1\, \mu(\varepsilon), \tag{5.20}$$

where $\{\omega^{(i)}_0\}_{i=1}^3$ *is the solution of the limit problem* (4.23).

In the neighbourhood $\Omega^{(0)}_{\varepsilon,\ell_0} := \Omega_\varepsilon \cap \left\{x : \ \ x_i < 2\ell_0\varepsilon, \ i = 1,2,3\right\}$ *of the node* $\Omega^{(0)}_\varepsilon$, *we get estimates*

$$\| \nabla_x u_\varepsilon - \nabla_\xi N_1\|_{L^2\left(\Omega^{(0)}_{\varepsilon,\ell_0}\times(0,T)\right)} \le \widetilde{C}_4\, \mu(\varepsilon). \tag{5.21}$$

Proof. Denote by $\chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}(\cdot) := \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0}(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}})$ (the function $\chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0}$ is determined in (5.1)) and

$$\|v\|^*_\Omega := \max_{t\in[0,T]} \|v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}.$$

Using the smoothness of the functions $\{\omega^{(i)}_1\}_{i=1}^3$ and the exponential decay of the functions $\{N_1 - G_1\}$, $i = 1,2,3$, at infinity, we deduce the inequality (5.19) from estimate (5.3), namely

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon - U^{(0)}_\varepsilon\right\|^*_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \le \left\| u_\varepsilon - U^{(1)}_\varepsilon\right\|^*_{\Omega_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left\| \sum_{i=1}^3 \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\, \omega^{(i)}_1 + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\right) N_1 \right\|^*_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$$

$$\le C_1\, \mu(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\omega_1 + \left(1 - \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\right) N_1 \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\, \|N_1\|^*_{\Omega^{(0)}_\varepsilon}$$

$$\le C_1\, \mu(\varepsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \left(1 - \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\right) x_i \frac{d\omega^{(i)}_0}{dx_i}(0,\cdot) \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \left(1 - \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\right) \left(\omega^{(i)}_1(0,\cdot) - \omega^{(i)}_1\right) \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_\varepsilon}$$

$$+ \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \omega^{(i)}_1 \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \left(1 - \chi^{(i)}_{\ell_0,\mathfrak{a},\varepsilon}\right) (N_1 - G_1) \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_\varepsilon}$$

$$+ \varepsilon^2 \max_{t\in[0,T]} \|N_1(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Xi^{(0)})} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|N_1\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Xi^{(0)}))} \le \widetilde{C}_0\, \mu(\varepsilon).$$

Also with the help of estimate (5.3), we derive

$$\left\| u_\varepsilon - \omega^{(i)}_0 \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}}} \le \left\| u_\varepsilon - U^{(1)}_\varepsilon \right\|^*_{\Omega_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left\| \omega^{(i)}_1 \right\|^*_{\Omega^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}}} \le \widetilde{C}_2\, \mu(\varepsilon),$$

whence we get (5.20).

The energetic estimate (5.21) in a neighbourhood of the node $\Omega^{(0)}_\varepsilon$ follows directly from (5.3). □

Using the Cauchy-Buniakovskii-Schwarz inequality and the continuously embedding of the space $H^1(I^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}})$ in $C\left([3\ell_0\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}, \ell_i]\right)$, it follows from (5.20) the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *If* $h_i(x_i) \equiv h_i \equiv const$, $i = 1,2,3$, *then*

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} \| (E^{(i)}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)(\cdot,t) - \omega^{(i)}_0(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(I^{(i)}_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}})} + \| E^{(i)}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon - \omega^{(i)}_0\|_{L^2\left(0,T;C([3\ell_0\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}},\ell_i])\right)} \le C_5\, \frac{\mu(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}, \tag{5.22}$$

where $\mu(\varepsilon)$ *is defined in (5.4) and*

$$\left(E^{(i)}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\right)(x_i,t) = \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2\, h_i^2} \int_{\Upsilon^{(i)}_\varepsilon(0)} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\, d\overline{x}_i.$$

6. Asymptotic approximation in the case $\alpha_0 < 0,\ \alpha_i \geq 1,\ i \in \{1,2,3\}$

Due to (3.12) we conclude that $\omega_0^{(i)}(0,t) = 0,\ i \in \{1,2,3\}$, and consequently also $N_0 \equiv 0$. Thus the limit problem (4.23) splits into the following three independent problems:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \pi h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t) - \pi \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right) + \pi h_i^2(x_i)\, k\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big) \\ \quad + 2\pi\delta_{\alpha_i,1} h_i(x_i)\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big) = \widehat{F}_0^{(i)}(x_i,t), \quad (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T), \\ \omega_0^{(i)}(0,t) = \omega_0^{(i)}(\ell_i,t) = 0, \quad t\in(0,T), \\ \omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,0) = 0, \quad x_i\in I_i, \end{array}\right. \tag{6.1}$$

where $\{\widehat{F}_0^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ is defined in (4.24), $i \in \{1,2,3\}$.

However, to construct an asymptotic approximation and to obtain asymptotic estimates in this case, we need extra assumptions. Namely, if $\alpha_0 \in [-q,-q+1),\ q\in\mathbb{N}$ we assume the following more stronger condition of zero-absorption:

$$\begin{array}{l} \kappa_0 \in C^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad \dfrac{d^{q+1}\kappa_0}{ds^{q+1}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \qquad \kappa_0(0) = \dfrac{d\kappa_0}{ds}(0) = \ldots = \dfrac{d^{q-1}\kappa_0}{ds^{q-1}}(0) = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad \exists\, k_- > 0 \ \ \forall\, s\in\mathbb{R}: \qquad \dfrac{d^q\kappa_0}{ds^q}(s) \geq k_-; \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

in addition, if $\alpha_0 \neq -q$ then

$$\begin{array}{l} f \in C_x^{q-1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{a_0}}\times[0,T]\right)\cap C_{\overline{x}_i}^{q}\left(\overline{\Omega_{a_0}^{(i)}}\times[0,T]\right), \qquad k\in C^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad \dfrac{d^{q_1}k}{ds^{q_1}}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad q_1\in\{1,\ldots,q+1\}, \\ \kappa_i \in C^{q+1,q-1,0}\big(\mathbb{R}\times[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]\big), \qquad \dfrac{d^{q_1}\kappa_i}{ds^{q_1}}(\cdot,x_i,t)\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad q_1\in\{1,\ldots,q+1\}, \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

uniformly with respect to $x_i\in[0,\ell_i]$ and $t\in[0,T]$ $\big(i\in\{1,2,3\}\big)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Under conditions (6.2)*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2\,dx\,dt \leq C_4\,\varepsilon^{\min\{1,-\frac{2\alpha_0}{q+1}\}} \ \longrightarrow\ 0 \quad as \quad \varepsilon\to 0. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. With the help of Taylor's formula (with Lagrange form of the remainder) and (6.2), we obtain

$$|\kappa_0(s)\,s| \geq \frac{k_-}{q!}\,|s|^{q+1}, \quad s\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Knowing that $\kappa_0(s)s \geq 0$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ (see (2.4)), we get

$$\kappa_0(s)\,s \geq \frac{k_-}{q!}\,|s|^{q+1}, \quad s\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{6.5}$$

Similarly as in subsection 3.1, from the integral identity (2.3) and inequalities (2.4), (3.2), (3.1), (3.6) and (3.10) it follows

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)} \kappa_0(u_\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon\,d\sigma_x dt \leq C_1\varepsilon^2.$$

Thanks to (6.5) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2\,d\sigma_x dt \leq \Big(\varepsilon^2|\Gamma_0|_2\,T\Big)^{\frac{q-1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)} |u_\varepsilon|^{q+1}\,d\sigma_x dt\right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}}$$

$$\le \Big(\varepsilon^2|\Gamma_0|_2\,T\Big)^{\frac{q-1}{q+1}}\Big(\frac{q!}{k_-}\Big)^{\frac{2}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}\kappa_0(u_\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon\,d\sigma_x dt\right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \le C_2\varepsilon^{2-\frac{2\alpha_0}{q+1}}.$$

Now with the help of (3.4) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2\,dx\,dt \le C_3\left(\varepsilon^2\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}|\nabla_x u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt+\varepsilon\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T)}u_\varepsilon^2\,d\sigma_x dt\right)\le C_4\varepsilon^{\vartheta},$$

where $\vartheta := \min\{4,\ 3-\frac{2\alpha_0}{q+1}\}$. □

Thus, in consequence of (6.4) we have the same three independent problems (6.1) to determine $\omega_0^{(1)}$, $\omega_0^{(2)}$ and $\omega_0^{(3)}$ if conditions (6.2) take place instead of **C3**(a).

To avoid cumbersome formulas and calculations, we consider the case $q=1$, i.e. $\alpha_0\in[-1,0)$, that is more typical and realistic.

6.1. **The case** $\alpha_0\in(-1,0)$**.** For the regular parts of the approximation in each thin cylinder $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ $(i\in\{1,2,3\})$, we propose the following ansatz:

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{A}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{n}}\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(x_i,t)+\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{n}+2}u_{\mathfrak{n}+2}^{(i)}\left(\frac{\overline{x}_i}{\varepsilon},x_i,t\right)\right) \tag{6.6}$$

where the index set $\mathfrak{A}=\{0,\ -\alpha_0,\ -2\alpha_0,\ 1+\alpha_0,\ 1,\ 1-\alpha_0\}$; and for the inner part of the approximation in a neighborhood of the node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ the ansatz looks as follows

$$\varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}V_{-\alpha_0}(t)+\varepsilon^{-2\alpha_0}V_{-2\alpha_0}(t)+\sum_{\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{J}}\left(\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{n}}V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)+\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{n}}N_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right) \tag{6.7}$$

where the index set $\mathfrak{J}=\{1,\ 1-\alpha_0,\ 2+\alpha_0,\ 2\}$.

Similarly as was done in the subsection 4.1, we obtain the linear inhomogeneous Neumann boundary-value problems to define coefficients $\{u_{\mathfrak{n}+2}^{(i)}\}$:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\overline{\xi}_i}u_{\mathfrak{n}+2}^{(i)} &= -\dfrac{\partial\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}{\partial t}+\dfrac{\partial^2\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}{\partial {x_i}^2}-\delta_{0,\mathfrak{n}}k\big(\omega_0^{(i)}\big)-(1-\delta_{\mathfrak{n},0})\Big(k'\big(\omega_0^{(i)}\big)\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}+k''\big(\omega_0^{(i)}\big)K_{\mathfrak{n}}\Big(\{\omega_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(i)}\}_{\mathfrak{j}<\mathfrak{n}}\Big)\Big)\\ &\quad+\delta_{0,\mathfrak{n}}\,f_0^{(i)}+\delta_{1,\mathfrak{n}}\,f_1^{(i)} \qquad \text{in}\quad \Upsilon_i(x_i),\\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}}u_{\mathfrak{n}+2}^{(i)} &= h_i'\dfrac{d\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}{dx_i}-\sum_{\mathfrak{m}\in\mathfrak{A}}\delta_{\alpha_i,\mathfrak{m}+1}\Big(\delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)\\ &\quad+(1-\delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}})\Big(\partial_s\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)\omega_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}^{(i)}+\partial_{ss}^2\kappa_i\big(\omega_0^{(i)},x_i,t\big)K_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}\Big(\{\omega_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(i)}\}_{\mathfrak{j}<\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}\Big)\Big)\Big)\\ &\quad+\delta_{\beta_i,\mathfrak{n}+1}\,\varphi^{(i)} \qquad \text{on}\quad \partial\Upsilon_i(x_i), \end{cases} \tag{6.8}$$

where $\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{j}\in\mathfrak{A}$, $i\in\{1,2,3\}$; $\partial_{ss}^2\kappa_i=\partial^2\kappa_i/\partial s^2$; and functions $K_{\mathfrak{n}}:=K_{\mathfrak{n}}\Big(\{z_{\mathfrak{j}}\}_{\mathfrak{j}<\mathfrak{n}}\Big)$, $\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{A}$, are defined by the formulas

$$K_0\equiv K_{-\alpha_0}\equiv K_{1+\alpha_0}\equiv 0,\quad K_1=z_{1+\alpha_0}z_{-\alpha_0},\quad K_{-2\alpha_0}=\tfrac12 z_{-\alpha_0}^2,\quad K_{1-\alpha_0}=z_1z_{-\alpha_0}+z_{1+\alpha_0}z_{-2\alpha_0}.$$

If $\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}\notin\mathfrak{A}$, then $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}^{(i)}\equiv 0$, $K_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}\equiv 0$. Also if $\alpha_i\ne 2+\alpha_0$, then $\omega_{1+\alpha_0}^{(i)}\equiv 0$.

In (6.8) the right-hand sides $f_0^{(i)},f_1^{(i)}$ are defined in the subsection 4.1 and the variables (x_i,t) are regarded as parameters from $I_\varepsilon^{(i)}\times(0,T)$. Also, we should add conditions $\langle u_{\mathfrak{n}+2}^{(i)}(\,\cdot\,,x_i,t)\rangle_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)}=0$ to these problems to guarantee the uniqueness of the solution.

By the same way as in subsection 4.2, the coefficients $N_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I}$, of the inner part of the asymptotics (6.7) are determined from the following relations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -\Delta_\xi N_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & F_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Xi, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} N_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & B^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_0, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} N_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & B^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i=1,2,3, \\ V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t) + N_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & \sim & \omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(0,t) + \Psi^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi_i \to +\infty, \ \ \overline{\xi}_i \in \Upsilon_i(0), \quad i=1,2,3. \end{array}\right. \tag{6.9}$$

Whence, using the representation (4.11) (at $n = \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I}$), we get the problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -\Delta_\xi \widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & \widetilde{F}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Xi, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} \widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & \widetilde{B}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_0, \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} \widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) & = & \widetilde{B}^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t), & \xi \in \Gamma_i, \quad i=1,2,3, \end{array}\right. \tag{6.10}$$

to determine $\widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. As before, we demand that $\widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ satisfies the following stabilization conditions:

$$V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t) + \widetilde{N}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) \to \omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(0,t) \quad \text{as} \quad \xi_i \to +\infty, \ \ \overline{\xi}_i \in \Upsilon_i(0), \quad i=1,2,3. \tag{6.11}$$

The variable t in (6.9) and (6.10) is regarded as parameter from $(0,T)$. The right hand sides in the differential equations and boundary conditions on $\{\Gamma_i\}$ of the problems (6.9), (6.10) and the fourth conditions in (6.9) are similarly obtained as in subsection 4.2. As a result, we get

$$\Psi^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) = \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t), \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I} \setminus \{2\},$$

$$\Psi^{(i)}_{2}(\xi,t) = \frac{\xi_i^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \omega^{(i)}_{0}}{\partial x_i^2}(0,t) + \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) + u^{(i)}_2(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t), \quad i=1,2,3.$$

$$F_{\mathfrak{n}} \equiv 0, \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I} \setminus \{2\}, \qquad F_2(\xi,t) = -k(0) + f(0,t),$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Big(\xi_i \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) \chi_i''(\xi_i) + 2 \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) \chi_i'(\xi_i) \Big), \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I} \setminus \{2\},$$

$$\widetilde{F}_2(\xi,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Bigg[\Big(\frac{\xi_i^2}{2} \frac{d^2 \omega^{(i)}_{0}}{dx_i^2}(0,t) + \xi_i \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) + u^{(i)}_2(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t) \Big) \chi_i''(\xi_i) + 2 \Big(\xi_i \frac{\partial^2 \omega^{(i)}_{0}}{\partial x_i^2}(0,t) + \frac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{1}}{\partial x_i}(0,t) \Big) \chi_i'(\xi_i) \Bigg] + \Big(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \chi_i(\xi_i) \Big) \Big(f(0,t) - k(0) \Big),$$

$$B^{(0)}_1(\xi,t) = \widetilde{B}^{(0)}_1(\xi,t) = -\kappa_0'(0) V_{-\alpha_0}(t) + \delta_{\beta_0,0} \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$

$$B^{(0)}_{1-\alpha_0}(\xi,t) = \widetilde{B}^{(0)}_{1-\alpha_0}(\xi,t) = -\kappa_0'(0) V_{-2\alpha_0}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0''(0) V^2_{-\alpha_0}(t) + \delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0} \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$

$$B^{(0)}_{2+\alpha_0}(\xi,t) = \widetilde{B}^{(0)}_{2+\alpha_0}(\xi,t) = -\kappa_0'(0) \big(V_1(t) + N_1(\xi,t) \big) + \delta_{\beta_0,1+\alpha_0} \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$

$$B^{(0)}_{2}(\xi,t) = \widetilde{B}^{(0)}_{2}(\xi,t) = -\kappa_0'(0) \big(V_{1-\alpha_0}(t) + N_{1-\alpha_0}(\xi,t) \big) - \kappa_0''(0) \big(V_1(t) + N_1(\xi,t) \big) V_{-\alpha_0}(t) + \delta_{\beta_0,1} \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t),$$

$$B^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}} \equiv \widetilde{B}^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}} \equiv 0, \quad \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I} \setminus \{2\}, \qquad B^{(i)}_2(\xi,t) = -\delta_{\alpha_i,1} \kappa_i(0,0,t) + \delta_{\beta_i,1} \varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t),$$

$$\widetilde{B}^{(i)}_2(\xi,t) = \Big(-\delta_{\alpha_i,1} \kappa_i\big(0,0,t\big) + \delta_{\beta_i,1} \varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t) \Big) \big(1 - \chi_i(\xi_i) \big), \quad i=1,2,3.$$

The existence of a solution of the problem (6.10) in $\mathcal{H}$ follows from Proposition 4.1. In order to satisfy solvability conditions (4.14) of the problem (6.10) we choose the values $V_{\mathfrak{n}-1-\alpha_0}$, $\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{I}$ as follows: $V_{1+\alpha_0}\equiv 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{-\alpha_0}(t) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_0'(0)\,|\Gamma_0|_2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)+\delta_{\beta_0,0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi\Big),\\
V_{-2\alpha_0}(t) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_0'(0)\,|\Gamma_0|_2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\omega_{-\alpha_0}^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)-\tfrac12\kappa_0''(0)|\Gamma_0|_2V_{-\alpha_0}^2(t)+\delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi\Big),\\
V_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_0'(0)\,|\Gamma_0|_2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\omega_{1+\alpha_0}^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)-\tfrac12\kappa_0''(0)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}N_1(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi+\delta_{\beta_0,1+\alpha_0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi\Big),\\
V_{1-\alpha_0}(t) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_0'(0)\,|\Gamma_0|_2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(0,t)-\kappa_0'(0)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}N_{1-\alpha_0}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi-\kappa_0''(0)V_{-\alpha_0}(t)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\big(V_1(t)+N_1(\xi,t)\big)\,d\sigma_\xi\\
&\quad+\ell_0\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\pi h_i^2(0)\big(k(0)-f(0,t)\big)+2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(0)\kappa_i(0,0,t)-\delta_{\beta_i,1}\int\limits_{\Upsilon_i(0)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,0,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}\Big)\\
&\quad-|\Xi^{(0)}|_3\big(k(0)-f(0,t)\big)+\delta_{\beta_0,1}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.12}
$$

Again, according to Proposition 4.1, the solution can be chosen in a unique way to guarantee the asymptotics (4.15) with values $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(\mathbf{2})}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(\mathbf{3})}$ (at $n=\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{I}$).

It remains to satisfy the stabilization conditions (6.11) at $\mathfrak{n}\in\{1,\ 1-\alpha_0\}$. Taking into account the asymptotics (4.15), we have to put

$$
\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(1)}(0,t)=V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t),\qquad \omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}(0,t)=V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(\mathbf{2})}(t),\qquad \omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(3)}(0,t)=V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(\mathbf{3})}(t),\quad \mathfrak{n}\in\{1,\ 1-\alpha_0\}. \tag{6.13}
$$

As a result, we get the solution of the problem (6.9) with the following asymptotics:

$$
N_{\mathfrak{n}}(\xi,t)=-V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)+\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(0,t)+\Psi_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(\xi,t)+\mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_i\xi_i))\quad\text{as}\quad \xi_i\to+\infty,\qquad i=1,2,3. \tag{6.14}
$$

To complete matching the regular and inner asymptotics, we put

$$
\omega_{1+\alpha_0}^{(i)}(0,t)=0,\qquad \omega_{-\alpha_0}^{(i)}(0,t)=V_{-\alpha_0}(t),\qquad \omega_{-2\alpha_0}^{(i)}(0,t)=V_{-2\alpha_0}(t),\qquad i=1,2,3. \tag{6.15}
$$

With the help of the necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the problem (6.8) and conditions (6.13), (6.15), we get the following problems for $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(1)}$, $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(3)}$ $(\mathfrak{n}\in\mathfrak{A}\setminus\{0\})$:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
&\pi h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t)-\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\frac{\partial\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right)+\pi h_i^2(x_i)k'\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(x_i,t)\\
&+2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(x_i)\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(x_i,t) &&=\ \widehat{F}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(x_i,t),\quad (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T),\\
&\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(0,t)=V_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(\boldsymbol{i})}(t),\qquad \omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(\ell_i,t) &&=\ 0,\qquad t\in(0,T),\\
&\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}(x_i,0) &&=\ 0,\qquad x_i\in I_i,
\end{aligned}\right.
\tag{6.16}
$$

for each $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Here the values $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ are defined in (6.12),

$$\widehat{F}^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}}(x_i,t) = -\pi h_i^2(x_i)k''\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)K_{\mathfrak{n}}\Big(\{\omega_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(i)}(x_i,t)\}_{\mathfrak{j}<\mathfrak{n}}\Big) - 2\pi h_i(x_i)\sum_{\mathfrak{m}\in\mathfrak{R}}\delta_{\alpha_i,\mathfrak{m}+1}\Bigg(\delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)$$
$$+ (1-\delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}})\Big(\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\omega^{(i)}_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}} + \partial^2_{ss}\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)K_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}\Big(\{\omega_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(i)}(x_i,t)\}_{\mathfrak{j}<\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}}\Big)\Big)\Bigg)$$
$$+ \delta_{1,\mathfrak{n}}\int\limits_{\Upsilon_i(x_i)} f_1^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,d\overline{\xi}_i + \delta_{\beta_i,\mathfrak{n}+1}\int\limits_{\partial\Upsilon_i(x_i)}\varphi^{(i)}(\overline{\xi}_i,x_i,t)\,dl_{\overline{\xi}_i}, \quad (x_i,t)\in I_i\times(0,T), \quad i=1,2,3;$$

the values $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(i)}_{1+\alpha_0}} = \boldsymbol{\delta^{(i)}_{-\alpha_0}} = \boldsymbol{\delta^{(i)}_{-2\alpha_0}} = 0$, $i\in\{1,2,3\}$, $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(1)}_1} = \boldsymbol{\delta^{(1)}_{1-\alpha_0}} = 0$, and $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(2)}_1}$, $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(3)}_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(2)}_{1-\alpha_0}}$, $\boldsymbol{\delta^{(3)}_{1-\alpha_0}}$ are uniquely determined (see Remark 4.1) by formulas

$$\boldsymbol{\delta^{(i)}_1}(t) = \int\limits_{\Xi}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\xi_j\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j''(\xi_j) + 2\frac{\partial\omega_0^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j'(\xi_j)\right)d\xi$$
$$- \kappa_0'(0)V_{-\alpha_0}(t)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,d\sigma_\xi + \delta_{\beta_0,0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi, \quad i=2,3, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\delta^{(i)}_{1-\alpha_0}}(t) = \int\limits_{\Xi}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\xi_j\frac{\partial\omega_{-\alpha_0}^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j''(\xi_j) + 2\frac{\partial\omega_{-\alpha_0}^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\chi_j'(\xi_j)\right)d\xi$$
$$- \Big(\kappa_0'(0)V_{-2\alpha_0}(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}\kappa_0''(0)V^2_{-\alpha_0}(t)\Big)\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,d\sigma_\xi + \delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0}\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\,\varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\,d\sigma_\xi, \quad i=2,3, \quad (6.18)$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{N}_3$ are defined in Proposition 4.2.

The determination of the terms of the asymptotics is carried out according to the following scheme:



Comments to the scheme. The arrows indicate the order for determining the terms of the asymptotics. We start with elements $\{\omega_0^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ (see (6.1)) and move across the arrows. Here the terms $\{\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\}$ and $N_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I}$ are determined from the problems (6.16) and (6.9), respectively; the values $V_{\mathfrak{n}-1-\alpha_0}$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{I}$ are defined in (6.12). If $\alpha_j \neq 2+\alpha_0$ for some $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, then $\omega_{1+\alpha_0}^{(j)} \equiv 0$ (see (6.8) and comments below) and term $\omega_{1-\alpha_0}^{(j)}$ does not depend on $\omega_{-2\alpha_0}^{(j)}$. If $\alpha_j \neq 2+\alpha_0$ for all $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, then the dashed arrows disappear and we don't need to find the elements $\{\omega_{-2\alpha_0}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$. The approximation does not contain the terms $N_{2+\alpha_0}$ and N_2, they are only needed to find the values V_1 and $V_{1-\alpha_0}$.

Thus, the asymptotic approximation in the case $\alpha_0 \in (-1,0)$ has the following form:

$$U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t) + \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\,\omega_{-\alpha_0}^{(i)}(x_i,t) + \varepsilon\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha_0}\,\omega_{1-\alpha_0}^{(i)}(x_i,t)\right)$$
$$+\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^3 \chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right)\left(\varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\,V_{-\alpha_0}(t) + \varepsilon\left(V_1(t) + N_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right) + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha_0}\left(V_{1-\alpha_0}(t) + N_{1-\alpha_0}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\right)\right)\right),$$
$$(x,t) \in \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0,T), \quad (6.19)$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a fixed number from the interval $\left(\frac{2}{3},1\right)$, and $\{\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ are defined in (5.1).

Theorem 6.1. *Let assumptions made in the statement of the problem (2.1) and (6.2), (6.3) at $q=1$ are satisfied. Then the sum (6.19) is the asymptotic approximation for the solution u_ε to the boundary-value problem (2.1), i.e., $\exists C_0 > 0 \;\; \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0 \;\; \forall \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$:*

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} \left\|U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(\cdot,t) - u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \left\|U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)} - u_\varepsilon\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \le C_0\,\mu_0(\varepsilon), \quad (6.20)$$

where $\mu_0(\varepsilon) = o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and

$$\mu_0(\varepsilon) = \left(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left((1-\delta_{\alpha_i,1}-\delta_{\alpha_i,1-\alpha_0})\varepsilon^{\alpha_i} + (1-\delta_{\beta_i,1}-\delta_{\beta_i,1-\alpha_0})\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \varepsilon^{2+\alpha_0} + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha_0} + (1-\delta_{\beta_0,0}-\delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0})\varepsilon^{\beta_0+1}\right). \quad (6.21)$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 6.1 repeats the proof of Theorem 5.1. To avoid huge amount of calculations we note the main differences.

The residual $\widehat{R}_\varepsilon$ in the differential equation in the whole domain Ω_ε and the residuals $\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ in the boundary conditions on the surfaces Γ_i of the thin cylinders $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ $(i \in \{1,2,3\})$ can be similarly obtained and estimated.

Let us consider the residual that asymptotic approximation (6.19) leaves in the boundary condition on the node. We get

$$\partial_\nu U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)} + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\kappa_0\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}\right) - \varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)} = \breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} \times (0,T),$$

where

$$\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) = \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\kappa_0\left(U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(x,t)\right) - \kappa_0'(0)V_{-\alpha_0}(t) - \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\kappa_0'(0)V_{-2\alpha_0}(t) - \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\tfrac{1}{2}\,\kappa_0''(0)\,V_{-\alpha_0}^2(t)$$
$$+ (\delta_{\beta_0,0} + \delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0} - 1)\,\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t), \qquad (x,t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} \times (0,T).$$

Denote by

$$\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(x,t) := \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0} V_{-\alpha_0}(t) + \varepsilon\Big(V_1(t) + N_1\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\Big)\Big) + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha_0}\Big(V_{1-\alpha_0}(t) + N_{1-\alpha_0}\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\Big)\Big).$$

Taking into account that $U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)} = \boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}$ on $\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ and using Taylor's formula

$$\kappa_0\Big(U_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}\Big) = \kappa_0'(0)\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)} + \int\limits_0^{\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}} \Big(\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)} - s\Big)\kappa_0''(s)\,ds,$$

we rewrite $\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) = {}& \varepsilon^{1+\alpha_0}\kappa_0'(0)\Big(V_1(t) + N_1\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\Big)\Big) + \varepsilon\kappa_0'(0)\Big(V_{1-\alpha_0}(t) + N_{1-\alpha_0}\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\Big)\Big)\\ &+ \varepsilon^{\alpha_0}\int\limits_0^{\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(x,t)} \Big(\boldsymbol{N}_\varepsilon^{(1-\alpha_0)}(x,t) - s\Big)\kappa_0''(s)\,ds - \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\kappa_0'(0)V_{-2\alpha_0}(t) - \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0}\tfrac{1}{2}\kappa_0''(0)V_{-\alpha_0}^2(t)\\ &+ (\delta_{\beta_0,0} + \delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0} - 1)\,\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t), \qquad (x,t)\in\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}\times(0,T).\end{aligned}$$

With the help of (3.6), we obtain

$$|R_{\varepsilon,3}(v)| = \Big|\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \breve{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)} v\,d\sigma_x\Big| \le \check{C}\sqrt{|\Gamma_0|_2}\,\big(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha_0} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha_0} + \varepsilon^{\beta_0}(1-\delta_{\beta_0,0}-\delta_{\beta_0,-\alpha_0})\big)\,\varepsilon\,\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)},$$

for all $v\in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)$ and a.e. $t\in(0,T)$. □

6.2. **The case** $\alpha_0 = -1$**.** In this case we take ansatzes (4.1) for the approximation in each thin cylinder $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ $(i\in\{1,2,3\})$ and entirely repeat all calculations from the subsection 4.1. In a neighborhood of the node $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ we consider only one term

$$\varepsilon N_1\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t\Big).$$

Similarly as in subsection 4.2 we derive the following relations for N_1:

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} -\Delta_\xi N_1(\xi,t) &= 0, && \xi\in\Xi,\\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} N_1(\xi,t) + \kappa_0'(0)N_1(\xi,t) &= B_1^{(0)}(\xi,t), && \xi\in\Gamma_0,\\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} N_1(\xi,t) &= 0, && \xi\in\Gamma_i,\quad i=1,2,3,\\ N_1(\xi,t) &\sim \omega_1^{(i)}(0,t) + \Psi_1^{(i)}(\xi,t), && \xi_i\to+\infty,\ \ \overline{\xi}_i\in\Upsilon_i(0),\quad i=1,2,3.\end{aligned}\right. \tag{6.22}$$

With the help of the representation (4.11) (at $n=1$), we obtain the problem

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} -\Delta_\xi \widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) &= \widetilde{F}_1(\xi,t), && \xi\in\Xi,\\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi} \widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) + \kappa_0'(0)\widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) &= \widetilde{B}_1^{(0)}(\xi,t), && \xi\in\Gamma_0,\\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\overline{\xi}_i}} \widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) &= 0, && \xi\in\Gamma_i,\quad i=1,2,3,\\ \widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) &\to \omega_1^{(i)}(0,t) && \text{as}\quad \xi_i\to+\infty,\ \ \overline{\xi}_i\in\Upsilon_i(0),\quad i=1,2,3,\end{aligned}\right. \tag{6.23}$$

to determine $\widetilde{N}_1$. Here $\{\Psi_1^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$, $\widetilde{F}_1$ are the same as in subsection 4.2, and $B_1^{(0)} = \widetilde{B}_1^{(0)} = \delta_{\beta_0,0}\,\varphi^{(0)}$.

Similarly as in subsection 4.2, we introduce the space $\mathcal{H}$ and prove the existence of a unique weak solution to the problem (6.23). But in contrast to the problem (4.12) we have the Robin condition on Γ_0.

Definition 6.1. *A function* $\widetilde{N}_1$ *from the space* $\mathcal{H}$ *is called a weak solution of the problem (6.23) if the identity*

$$\int\limits_{\Xi} \nabla\widetilde{N}_1 \cdot \nabla v \, d\xi + \kappa_0'(0) \int\limits_{\Gamma_0} \widetilde{N}_1 \, v \, d\sigma_\xi = \int\limits_{\Xi} \widetilde{F}_1 \, v \, d\xi + \int\limits_{\Gamma_0} \widetilde{B}_1^{(0)} \, v \, d\sigma_\xi$$

holds for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $\rho^{-1}\widetilde{F}_1(\cdot\,,t) \in L^2(\Xi)$, $\widetilde{B}_1^{(0)}(\cdot\,,t) \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ *for a.e.* $t \in (0,T)$. *Then there exist a unique weak solution of problem (6.23) with the following differentiable asymptotics:*

$$\widetilde{N}_1(\xi,t) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(1)}}(t) + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_1\xi_1)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_1 \to +\infty, \\ \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}(t) + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_2\xi_2)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_2 \to +\infty, \\ \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}(t) + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_3\xi_3)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_3 \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{6.24}$$

where γ_i, $i = 1,2,3$ *are positive constants.*

The values $\{\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}\}_{i=1}^3$ in (6.24) are defined as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}(t) = \int_\Xi \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\, \widetilde{F}_1(\xi,t)\, d\xi + \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\, \widetilde{B}_1^{(0)}(\xi,t)\, d\sigma_\xi, \quad i = 1,2,3, \tag{6.25}$$

where $\{\mathfrak{N}_i\}_{i=1}^3$ are special solutions to the corresponding homogeneous problem

$$-\Delta_\xi \mathfrak{N} = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Xi, \qquad \partial_\nu \mathfrak{N} + \kappa_0'(0)\mathfrak{N} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \Gamma_0, \qquad \partial_\nu \mathfrak{N} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Xi \setminus \Gamma_0 \tag{6.26}$$

for the problem (6.23).

Proposition 6.3. *The problem (6.26) has three linearly independent solutions* $\{\mathfrak{N}_i\}_{i=1}^3$ *that do not belong to the space* $\mathcal{H}$ *and they have the following differentiable asymptotics:*

$$\mathfrak{N}_i(\xi) = \begin{cases} C_i^{(1)} + \delta_{i,1}\, \dfrac{\xi_1}{\pi h_1^2(0)} + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_1\xi_1)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_1 \to +\infty, \\ C_i^{(2)} + \delta_{i,2}\, \dfrac{\xi_2}{\pi h_2^2(0)} + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_2\xi_2)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_2 \to +\infty, \\ C_i^{(3)} + \delta_{i,3}\, \dfrac{\xi_3}{\pi h_3^2(0)} + \mathcal{O}\big(\exp(-\gamma_3\xi_3)\big) & \text{as} \quad \xi_3 \to +\infty, \end{cases} \qquad i = 1,2,3. \tag{6.27}$$

Any other solution to the homogeneous problem, which has polynomial growth at infinity, can be presented as a linear combination $\mathfrak{c}_1\mathfrak{N}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2\mathfrak{N}_2 + \mathfrak{c}_3\mathfrak{N}_3$.

In order to satisfy the forth condition in (6.23), we have to put

$$\omega_1^{(i)}(0,t) = \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}(t), \qquad i = 1,2,3. \tag{6.28}$$

As a result, we get the solution of the problem (6.22) with the following asymptotics:

$$N_1(\xi,t) = \omega_1^{(i)}(0,t) + \Psi_1^{(i)}(\xi,t) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\gamma_i\xi_i)) \quad \text{as} \quad \xi_i \to +\infty, \quad i = 1,2,3. \tag{6.29}$$

Taking into account (6.28) we derive for each $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ the problem

$$\begin{cases} \pi h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial t}(x_i,t) - \pi\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(h_i^2(x_i)\dfrac{\partial\omega_1^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i,t)\right) + \pi h_i^2(x_i)k'\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t)\Big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) \\ \quad + 2\pi\,\delta_{\alpha_i,1}\,h_i(x_i)\partial_s\kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t),x_i,t\Big)\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t) = \widehat{F}_1^{(i)}(x_i,t), \quad (x_i,t) \in I_i \times (0,T), \\ \omega_1^{(i)}(0,t) = \boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}(t), \qquad \omega_1^{(i)}(\ell_i,t) = 0, \qquad t \in (0,T), \\ \omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,0) = 0, \qquad x_i \in I_i, \end{cases} \tag{6.30}$$

to determine uniquely $\omega_1^{(i)}$. Here $\widehat{F}_1^{(i)}$ are defined in (4.31), and

$$\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(i)}}(t) = \int_{\Xi} \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi) \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(j)}}{\partial x_j}(0,t)\Big(\xi_j \chi_j''(\xi_j) + 2\chi_j'(\xi_j)\Big)\, d\xi + \delta_{\beta_0,0} \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathfrak{N}_i(\xi)\, \varphi^{(0)}(\xi,t)\, d\sigma_\xi, \quad i = 1,2,3, \tag{6.31}$$

where $\{\mathfrak{N}_i\}_{i=1}^3$ are defined in Proposition 6.3.

With the help of $\{\omega_0,\ \omega_1\}_{i=1}^3$, N_1 (see (6.1), (6.30), (6.22), respectively) we construct the following asymptotic approximation:

$$U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\left(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t) + \varepsilon\,\omega_1^{(i)}(x_i,t)\right) + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)\right) \varepsilon N_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t\right), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0,T), \tag{6.32}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a fixed number from the interval $\left(\frac{2}{3}, 1\right)$, and $\{\chi_{\ell_0}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^3$ are defined in (5.1).

Theorem 6.2. *Let assumptions made in the statement of the problem (2.1) and (6.2) at $q = 1$ are satisfied. Then the sum (6.32) is the asymptotic approximation for the solution u_ε to the boundary-value problem (2.1), i.e., $\exists\, C_0 > 0\ \ \exists\, \varepsilon_0 > 0\ \ \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$:*

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\cdot,t) - u_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \left\| U_\varepsilon^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \le C_0\, \mu_0(\varepsilon), \tag{6.33}$$

where $\mu_0(\varepsilon) = o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and

$$\mu_0(\varepsilon) = \left(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Big((1-\delta_{\alpha_i,1})\varepsilon^{\alpha_i} + (1-\delta_{\beta_i,1})\varepsilon^{\beta_i}\Big) + (1-\delta_{\beta_0,0})\varepsilon^{\beta_0+1}\right). \tag{6.34}$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 6.2 repeats the proof of Theorem 5.1. The only difference is the residual on the boundary of the node, namely

$$\check{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t) = \varepsilon^{-1}\kappa_0\Big(U_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x,t)\Big) - \kappa_0'(0) N_1\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t\Big) + (\delta_{\beta_0,0} - 1)\,\varepsilon^{\beta_0}\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x,t), \qquad (x,t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} \times (0,T).$$

We estimate the value

$$R_{\varepsilon,3}(v) = \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)}} \check{R}_\varepsilon^{(0)} v\, d\sigma_x$$

with the help of (3.6) and Taylor's formula. As a result, we get

$$|R_{\varepsilon,3}(v)| \le \check{C}\sqrt{|\Gamma_0|_2}\left(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\beta_0}(1-\delta_{\beta_0,0})\right)\varepsilon\, \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)},$$

for all $v \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)$ and a.e. $t \in (0,T)$. □

Remark 6.1. *As we have argued inequalities (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22), we can prove similar inequalities in the case $\alpha_0 \in [-1, 0)$ using (6.20) and (6.33).*

7. Comments

1. At first glance it may seem that there is no difference between the nonlinear Robin condition (1.1) in the problem (2.1) and the corresponding linear Neumann condition, since the term $\kappa_i(u_\varepsilon, x_i, t)$ is multiplied by ε^{α_i} $(i \in \{1,2,3\})$. However, this is true only if $\alpha_i > 1$. If $\alpha_i = 1$, then the new blow-up term

$$2\pi\, h_i(x_i)\, \kappa_i\Big(\omega_0^{(i)}(x_i,t), x_i, t\Big),$$

which takes into account the curvilinearity of the thin cylinder $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ through the function h_i, appears in the differential equation of the corresponding limit problem (see (4.23) and (6.1)).

What happens when $\alpha_i < 1$ for some $i \in \{1,2,3\}$; to be specific we put $\alpha_1 < 1$. As in the case **C3**(a) we additionally suppose that there is a constant k_- such that $0 < k_- \le \kappa_1'(s, x_1, t)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly with respect to $x_1 \in [0, \ell_1]$ and $t \in [0,T]$, and $\kappa_1(0, x_1, t) = 0$. Then from the integral identity (2.3) and inequalities (2.4), (3.2), (3.1), (3.6) and (3.10) it follows

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(1)} \times (0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2 \, d\sigma_x dt \le C_1 \Big(|k(0)|\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\alpha_0+1} |\kappa_0(0)| + \sum_{i=2}^{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha_i} \max_{[0,\ell_i]\times[0,T]} |\kappa_i(0, x_i, t)| \\ + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0,T))} + \varepsilon^{\beta_0} \|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(0)} \times (0,T))} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\beta_i - \frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(i)} \times (0,T))} \Big) \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon)} \le C_2 \varepsilon^2.$$

Now with the help of (3.3) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(1)} \times (0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2 \, dxdt \le C_3 \left(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(1)} \times (0,T)} |\nabla_x u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha_1} \varepsilon^{\alpha_1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^{(1)} \times (0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2 \, d\sigma_x dt \right) \le C_4 \varepsilon^{\vartheta},$$

where $\vartheta := \min\{4,\ 3 - \alpha_1\}$. This means that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(1)} \times (0,T)} u_\varepsilon^2 \, dxdt \le C_4 \, \varepsilon^{\min\{2, 1-\alpha_1\}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Due to (7.1) we conclude that $\omega_0^{(1)} \equiv 0$. If $\alpha_1 < 0$, then we can state that there are two independent problems (6.1) ($i = 2$ and $i = 3$) to determine $\omega_0^{(2)}$ and $\omega_0^{(3)}$. The view of the limit problem is still unknown for $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1)$; we guess that the limit problem will depend on the parameter α_0 in addition.

2. From obtained results it follows that the asymptotic behaviour of the solution essentially depends on the parameter α_0 characterizing the intensity of processes at the boundary of the node. If $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\beta_0 > 0$, then the limit problem (4.23) does not feel both those processes and the node geometry. In this case, in order to take into account all these factors on the global level, we propose to consider a system consisting of the limit problem (4.23) and (4.30) on the graph. The coefficients $\boldsymbol{d_1^*}$, $\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(2)}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta_1^{(3)}}$ in the Kirchhoff transmission conditions of the problem (4.30) pay respect to all parameters $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^3$, $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^3$, and many other features (see formulas (4.27) and (4.32)). This proposition is justified by Theorem 5.

The same observation holds for the cases $\alpha_0 \in (-1, 0)$ and $\alpha_0 = -1$ despite the limit problem is split into three problems (6.1) and the problems (6.16) and (6.30) are independent at first glance. In fact the Dirichlet condition at the vertex $x = 0$ in the problems (6.16) indicate the dependence of these problems both on previous solutions $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(1)}$, $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(2)}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(3)}$ ($\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{A}$) and on other factors through the values $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta_{\mathfrak{n}}^{(i)}}$ (see (6.12), (6.17) and (6.18)) for $\alpha_0 \in (-1, 0)$; in the case $\alpha_0 = -1$ see the problems (6.30) and formulas (6.31).

3. Thanks to estimates (5.20) and (5.21), we get the zero-order approximation of the gradient (flux) of the solution

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon(x,t) \sim \frac{\partial \omega_0^{(i)}}{\partial x_i}(x_i, t) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$

in each curvilinear cylinders $\Omega_{\varepsilon,\mathfrak{a}}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon(x,t) \sim \nabla_\xi \big(N_1(\xi, t) \big) \Big|_{\xi = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

in the neighbourhood $\Omega_{\varepsilon,\ell_0}^{(0)}$ of the node.

The estimate (5.21) is very important if we investigate processes occurring in a neighbourhood of the node. In this case, in terms of practical application, we propose to apply numerical methods not to original

problems in thin star-shaped junctions, as was done for instance in [14] without enough accuracy (see the Introduction), and to the corresponding problem for N_1 (see (4.9), (6.9) at $n = 1$ and (6.22)).

4. An important problem of existing multi-scale methods is their stability and accuracy. The proof of the error estimate between the constructed approximation and the exact solution is a general principle that has been applied to the analysis of the efficiency of a multi-scale method. In our paper, we have constructed and justified the asymptotic approximation for the solution to problem (2.1) and proved the corresponding estimates for different values of the parameters $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$. It should be noted here that we do not assume any orthogonality conditions for the right-hand sides in the equation and in the nonlinear Robin boundary conditions.

The results obtained in Theorems 5.1, 6.1, 6.2 and Corollaries 5.1, 5.2 argue that in depending on $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ it is possible to replace the complex boundary-value problem (2.1) with the corresponding limit problem (4.23) ((6.1)) on the graph $\mathcal{I}$ with sufficient accuracy measured by the parameter ε characterizing the thickness and the local geometrical irregularity of the thin star-shaped junction Ω_ε.

References

[1] J. von Below, *Classical solvability of linear parabolic equations in networks,* J. Differ. Equations, **52** (1988) 316–337. 1

[2] Y. Avishai and J. Luck, *Quantum percolation and ballistic conductance on a lattice of wires*, Phys. Rev. B, **46**:3 (1992) 1074–1095. 1

[3] F. Ali-Mehmeti, *Nonlinear waves in networks*. Academie-Verlag, 1994. 1

[4] M.K. Banda, M. Herty and A. Klar, *Gas Flow in Pipeline Networks.* Networks and Heter. Media, bf 1 (2006) 41–56. 1

[5] Yu. Golovaty and V. Flyud, *Singularly perturbed hyperbolic problems on metric graphs: asymptotics of solutions*, Open Mathematics, (2017) in print. 1

[6] V. Kostrykin, J. Potthoff and R. Schrader, *Finite propagation speed for solutions of the wave equation on the metric graphs,* J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012) 1198–1223. 1

[7] D. Kowal, U. Sivan, O. Entin-Wohlman and Y. Imry, *Transmission through multiply-connected wire systems*, Phys. Rev. B, **42**:14 (1990) 9009–9018. 1

[8] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs I: Some basic structures*, Waves Random Media, **14**:1 (2004) 107–128. 1

[9] S. Manko, *Quantum-graph vertex couplings: some old and new approximations*, Mathematica Bohemica, **139**:2 (2014) 259–267. 1

[10] D. Pelinovsky, G. Schneider, *Bifurcations of standing localized waves on periodic graphs.* Preprint, 2016, arXiv:1603.05463v2. 1

[11] Yu.V. Pokornyi, O.M. Penkin, V.L. Pryadiev, A.V. Borovskikh, K.P. Lazarev and S.A. Shabrov, *Differential equations on geometric graphs*. Moskva: Fizmatlit. 2004. 1

[12] D. Mugnolo, *Gaussian estimates for a heat equation on a network*, Netw. Heterog.Media, **2** (2007) 55–79. 1

[13] D. Mugnolo and S. Romanelli, *Dynamic and generalized Wentzell node conditions for network equations*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, **30** (2007) 681–706. 1

[14] Ø. Evju, K. Valen-Sendstad and K.A. Mardal, *A study of wall shear stress in 12 aneurysms with respect to different viscosity modelsand flow conditions*, Journal of Biomechanics, **46** (2013) 2802–2808. 1, 7

[15] M.I. Freidlin and A.D. Wentzell, *Diffusion processes on graphs and the averaging principle*, The Annals of Probability, **21** (1993) 2215–2245. 1

[16] S. Albeverio and S. Kusuoka, *Diffusion processes in thin tubes and their limits on graphs*, *The Annals of Probability*, **40**:5 (2012) 2131–2167. 1

[17] G.P. Panasenko, *Method of asymptotic partial decomposition of domain*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, **8** (1998) 139–156. 1

[18] G.P. Panasenko, *Multi-scale modelling for structures and composites*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2005. 1

[19] G. Cardone, A. Corbo-Esposito and G. Panasenko, *Asymptotic partial decomposition for diffusion with sorption in thin structures*, Nonlinear Analysis, **65** (2006) 79–106. 1

[20] G. Panasenko and K. Pileckas, *Asymptotic analysis of the non-steady Navier-Stokes equations in a tube structure. I. The case without boundary-layer in time*. Nonlinear Analysis, **122** (2015) 125–168. 1

[21] G. Panasenko and K. Pileckas, *Asymptotic analysis of the non-steady Navier-Stokes equations in a tube structure. II. General case.* Nonlinear Analysis, **125** (2015) 582–607. 1

[22] A. Gaudiello, G. Panasenko and A. Piatnitski, *Asymptotic analysis and domain decomposition for a biharmonic problem in a thin multi-structure*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **18** (2016) 1550057 (27 pages) 1

[23] S.A. Nazarov and A.S. Slutskii, *Asymptotic analysis of an arbitrary spatial system of thin rods*, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society, (ed. N.N. Uraltseva), **10** (2004) 59–109. 1
[24] S.A. Nazarov and A.S. Slutskii, *Arbitrary plane systems of anisotropic beams*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklov., **236** (2002) 234–261. 1
[25] P. Kuchment, *Graph models for waves in thin structures*, Waves in Random Media, **12**:4 (2002) 1–24. 1
[26] P. Kuchment, H. Zeng, *Convergence of spectra of mesoscopic systems collapsing onto a graph*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001) 671–700. 1
[27] P. Duclos and P. Exner, *Curvature-induced bound states in quantum wavequides in two and three dimensions*, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995) 73–102. 1
[28] P. Exner and O. Post, *Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **54** (2005) 77–115. 1
[29] D. Grieser, *Spectra of graph neighborhoods and scattering*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **97**:3 (2008) 718–752. 1
[30] S. Molchanov, B. Vainberg, *Scattering solutions in networks of thin fibers: Small diameter asymptotics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **273** (2007) 533–559. 1
[31] S. Molchanov, B. Vainberg, *Laplace operator in networks of thin fibers: Spectrum near the threshold*, Preprint, 2007, arXiv:0704.2795. 1
[32] O. Post, *Branched quantum wave guides with Dirichlet boundary conditions: the decoupling case*, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 4917–4931. 1
[33] J. Rubinstein and M. Schatzman, *Variational problems on multiply connected thin strips. I: Basic estimates and convergence of the Laplacian spectrum*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **160** (2001) 271–308. 1
[34] S.A. Nazarov, K. Ruotsalainen and P. Uusitalo, *Multifarious transmission conditions in the graph models of carbon nanostructures*, Materials Physics and Mechanics, **29** (2016) 107–115. 1
[35] P. Kuchment and L. Kunyansky, *Differential operators on graphs and photonic crystals*, Adv. Comput. **16** (2002) 263–290. 1
[36] H. Ueckera, D. Griesera, Z. Sobirovb, D. Babajanovc and D. Matrasulov, *Soliton transport in tubular networks: transmission at vertices in the shrinking limit*, Preprint, 2015, arXiv:1406.0738v3 . 1
[37] A.V. Klevtsovskiy and T.A. Mel'nyk, *Asymptotic expansion for the solution to a boundary-value problem in a thin cascade domain with a local joint*, Asymptotic Analysis, **97** (2016) 265–290. 1.1, 4
[38] A.V. Klevtsovskiy and T.A. Mel'nyk, *Asymptotic approximations of the solution to a boundary-value problem in a thin aneurysm-type domain*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 2017 (in print); Preprint (2017) arXiv:1702.02976v1 1.1
[39] A. M. Il'in, *Matching of asymptotic expansions of solutions of boundary value problems*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 102. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. 1.1
[40] A.N. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovskii, and N. Piskunov, *A study of the diffusion equation with increase in the amount of substance and its application to a biology problem*, Moskow Univ. Bull. Math. A, **1**:6 (1937) 1–25. 2.1
[41] R.A. Fisher, *The wave of advance of advantageous genes*, Ann Eugenics, **7** (1937) 355–369. 2.1
[42] C. Conca, J.I. Diaz, A. Linan and C. Timofte, *Homogenization in chemical reactive flows*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2004(40) (2004) 1–22. 2.1
[43] C.V. Pao, *Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*. Plenum Press, New York; 1992. 2.1
[44] J.M. Arrieta, A.N. Carvalho, M.C. Pereira and R.P. Silva, *Semilinear parabolic problems in thin domains with a highly oscillatory boundary*, Nonlinear Analysis, **74** (2011) 5111–5132. 2.1
[45] M. Prizzi and K.P. Rybakowski, *The effect of domain squeezing upon the dynamics of reaction-diffusion equations*, Journal of Differential Equations, **173** (2001) 271–320. 2.1, 2.1
[46] T.A. Mel'nyk, *Homogenezation of a boundary-value problem with a nonlinear boundary condition in a thick junction of type 3:2:1*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **31** (2008) 1005–1027. 3
[47] R.E. Showalter, *Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 49, American Mathematical Society, 1997. 2, 3, 4.2.1
[48] V.A. Kondratiev and O.A. Oleinik, *Boundary-value problems for partial differential equations in non-smooth domains*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, **38**:2 (1983) 1–86. 4.2
[49] S.A. Nazarov, *Junctions of singularly degenerating domains with different limit dimensions*, J. Math. Sci., **80**:6 (1996) 1989–2034. 4.2
[50] T.A. Mel'nyk, *Homogenization of the Poisson equation in a thick periodic junction*, Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen, **18**:4 (1999) 953–975. 4.2, 4.2

Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, Department of Mathematical Physics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska str. 64, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail address: avklevtsovskiy@gmail.com, melnyk@imath.kiev.ua